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Abstract

Economic Impact of credit unions on rural communities

Supervisor: Professor M. Rose Olfert

The study contributes to the growing literature on the role of social economy enterprises on rural vitality, by examining the relationship between credit union activity and community population growth in rural Canada. A preliminary qualitative inquiry indicated that while most of the business policies and practices of a chartered bank and a credit union are similar, a credit union, in addition, extended non-traditional lending to their clients in the form of micro-lending and also participated actively in community development lending. Following the preliminary qualitative investigation, the impact of credit unions was examined using spatial regressions models in seven provinces in Canada using data at Consolidated Census Subdivisions (CCSs) level to represent communities. Motivated by the potential role of credit unions as community based financial institutions, the quantitative analysis modeled credit unions as potentially reducing transactions costs for local businesses.

Regression results indicated that the presence of credit unions was statistically significant and positive in our most parsimonious models including only natural amenity factors, agglomeration measures and other social measures as explanatory variables. However, in the full model with economic variables added the credit union dummy lost its statistical significance. A possible interpretation is that the credit union dummy is an inadequate representation of credit union activity. More complete, high quality, quantitative data to reflect their activities in the community may have produced different results.

Recent credit union mergers are designed to increase their capacity and efficiency in providing services to their members. However, these new trends could aggravate the principal-
agent problems. As credit unions become more ‘bank-like’ though mergers, individual branches may lose their links with their local communities and their ability to perform their traditional functions.
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Chapter 1: Introduction

1.0 Introduction

Continued rural depopulation in Canada remains a cause of concern to rural residents and policy makers at all levels. For example, rural communities tied to the primary sector are typically losing residents, most notably in the young labor force age groups. This depopulation has profound implications for social well-being (Rothwell et al. 2002; Bollman and Mendelson 1998). Without a critical mass of population, these rural communities may enter a downward spiral.

Among the various challenges to rural vitality is the design of appropriate policies and strategies for sustainable rural development, especially in a context of the rapidly evolving global economy. The old rules of the game, where traditional assets such as cheap land and labor, or an abundance of natural resources, determined a region’s success or failure, no longer apply. New categories of assets are shaping economic prospects of today’s rural communities (Low et al. 2005). Social economy enterprises might be one such asset that has the potential to contribute to rural growth or reduce decline.

Social economy enterprises are businesses that sell goods or provide services in the market for the purpose of creating a blended return on investment, both financial and social. Their profits, if any, are returned to the business or to a social purpose, rather than maximizing profits to shareholders (Social Enterprise Council of Canada 2010). Credit unions are a form of the social economy enterprise. They provide financial services to their members, whilst abiding by co-operative principles that emphasize the importance of service to members and democratic control.
Credit unions and their counterparts in French Canada, caisses populaires,¹ are part of the Canadian co-operative system. A co-operative is a business organization owned by those who use its services. Control rests equally with all members, and surplus earnings are shared in proportion to the degree they use the service (Canadian Co-operative Association 2007). The democratic structure of a co-operative allows members to have a say in its operations. Members have a voice in who they want to represent their interest within the co-operative.

In Canada credit unions provide the same financial services as do banks and trust companies, but maximum profits or earnings are not their main motivation instead, service to members and the community is of equal or greater importance (CCA 2007). Credit unions provide benefits to their members through the attainment of both their economic and social goals. The number of shares that each member can hold is limited to one. All members have an equal say regardless of the amount of their patronage. Returns are allocated on the basis of patronage, not shares. For this reason profit motivation cannot dominate the activity of the credit union, and this ensures the needs of the members are represented.

Credit unions are a unique type of financial co-operative straddling the boundary between the public and private sectors, between the broader economy and communities. They combine in one organization co-operative principles with financial resources. The role of credit unions may be particularly critical in an era of globalization, which presents a number of complex challenges and opportunities, including declining funding to social programs, increased mobility of international capital and less democratic accountability of corporations to citizens (Della and Tarrow 2004).

¹ Translated literally caisse populaire means ‘people’s bank’. A caisse populaire is effectively a credit union,
Credit unions, due to their mandate and structure, may be able to network with other organizations seeking to address members’ and communities’ needs. There is also the potential for credit unions to facilitate the achievement of community oriented goals. Credit unions’ democratic control can be applied to local and regional issues including rural vitality. Traditionally they have been closely tied to their local economies (as they have drawn their membership and assets from the community) and the same strong link has hold for small businesses (CCA 2007). In an environment of rapid consolidation this relationship with the local communities may change. Where members are drawn from multiple and geographical diverse communities, and loans and investments are made in multiple communities, the ‘community’ served by a credit union may need to be redefined.

Credit unions' community development activities have traditionally been directly linked to their role as financial organizations — receiving deposits, extending loans and making investments (Fairbairn et al. 1997). Community development may result from innovative lending of funds to individuals or businesses. In this regard credit unions may be well-positioned to play a critical role in entrepreneurship development and firm growth in communities. This is particularly important in rural communities because entrepreneurship is emerging as key in regional growth. At present and in the foreseeable future, an overwhelming number of jobs being created in the economy are by small business expansion and start-ups (Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) 2000). Not only do entrepreneurs create new local jobs, but they also generate new wealth and new growth (Low et al. 2005). In this context, credit unions may play a role in attracting and retaining additional economic activity in a community. Additional benefits flow to the community from having additional employment and the resulting spin-offs (Fulton and Lou Hammond 1992).
In light of these potential benefits of credit unions, an understanding of their role in rural community growth is of interest. The objective of this research is thus to investigate the economic impact of credit unions on rural communities’ vitality, as measured by population growth.

1.1 Purpose and Objectives

The purpose of this study is to empirically examine the economic impact of credit unions on rural communities. One of the principle that guides the operation of credit unions is their concern for the community. Credit Union Central of Canada (CUCC) (2008) maintains that responsibility to communities is core to the roots and daily operations of credit unions. We would therefore expect that credit union activities in communities may improve vitality of those communities through promoting business growth and job creation. In addition to direct impacts, there could also be positive economic spillovers. Both quantitative and qualitative data will be used. First qualitative information will provide an in-depth understanding of the activities of credit unions and to inform the quantitative analysis.

The objectives of the study are:
1. To provide an overview of the policies and practices of a credit union and a chartered bank in Saskatchewan with respect to local community economic activities; and
2. To assess if the presence of credit unions contributes to community population growth and stability.

1.2 Hypothesis

To achieve these objectives the study will be guided by the following null hypothesis:

- There is no difference in population growth outcomes between rural communities where credit unions are present and those with no credit unions.
The hypothesis is stated in the null form for the purposes of statistical significance testing. If we reject the null hypothesis (at the given level of significance) we can conclude that there is evidence of a difference in population growth outcomes between the communities where credit unions are present and those with no credit unions. If we however fail to reject the null hypothesis, we can conclude that there is insufficient evidence (at the given level of significance) to indicate a difference in population growth outcomes between the communities where credit unions are present and those with no credit unions.

1.3 Expected Contribution and Justification of the Study

Rural development policy in North America has moved from a position of primary importance at the beginning of the 20th century to one of marginality. Globalization has resulted in a number of complex challenges to rural development such as increased mobility of international capital, importance of agglomeration economies and the emergence of service and knowledge based sectors as the drivers of the economy. Hence, many rural communities face decline and depopulation, and a wide variety of economic problems. Thus, economic development of rural areas continues to remain a top stated priority of policy makers at all levels. The ability to enhance employment opportunities, attracting and retaining population and raising earnings are practically important for rural vitality (Gabe 2007).

Conventional economic development strategies seem to be failing to achieve lasting stability and prosperity for rural communities. Communities throughout the world continue to search for alternative ways to achieve local and regional economic development in the face of these pressures. Credit unions may be one of these alternative ways. The potential ability of credit unions to play a role in community economic growth is directly linked to the fact that they are locally controlled. Not only are businesses and organizations owned by outsiders more likely to
pull out when profitability falls, they are also likely less sensitive to services required by the people in the community. Credit unions ‘recycle’ funds by reinvesting their deposits and profits in the community through personal and business loans, mortgages and dividends paid on members’ shares.

In Canada credit unions are the only financial services providers in more than 900 communities (CCA 2007). Given this extensive network, credit unions have the potential to contribute to the success of many rural communities.

This research thus contributes to the study of the social economy, and particularly the credit union literature, by providing an empirical analysis of credit union economic impact on rural communities. Findings from this research may help policy makers and other financial organizations (banks and credit unions) in Canada and around the world to improve performance of rural economies in the increasingly competitive environment. This is particularly important for credit unions and banks that remain in sparsely populated rural areas, since improved performance would ensure that people in rural areas have access to a wide range of services and economic opportunities. The study also provides the basis for future studies assessing the role of credit unions in communities that will allow for the tracking of any changes in the role played by credit unions.

1.4 Historical Development of Credit Unions

This section reviews the emergence of credit unions in the world and in Canada. The organizational structure and credit union regulation in Canada is also briefly presented, followed by a section on recent developments in the credit union sector.
1.4.1 Historical Overview of the Credit Union Development

The first successful credit unions began in Germany in 1852 under the leadership of co-operative pioneer Hermann Schulze-Delitzsch and they focused on traders, shop owners and artisans in urban areas. A decade later, Friedrich Wilhelm Raiffeisen founded the first rural credit union in a village of Heddesdorf (Germany). Members of Raiffeisen’s credit unions were generally poorer than their urban counterparts. They had smaller, more seasonal and less predictable income flows. Raiffeisen’s approach addressed the unique problems of the rural poor largely by exploiting the strong bonds of solidarity and deep Christian values in the typical village.

Today many credit unions exist to further community development or sustainable international development on a local level (World Council of Credit Union (WOCCU) 2009). In some places credit unions are called by different names, for example, in many African countries they are called savings and credit co-operative organizations (SACCOs) and credit co-operative organizations, to emphasize savings before credit. In Spanish speaking countries, they are often called cooperativas de ahorro y credito. French terms for credit union include caisse populaire and banque populaire.

At the end of 2006 there were 46,377 credit unions in 97 countries around the world. Collectively they served 172 million retail members and oversaw US$1.1 trillion in assets. The top five nations with the greatest number of credit union members include the United States (87 million), India (20 million), Canada (11 million), South Korea (4.7 million), and Japan (3.6 million) (WOCCU 2009).
An important characteristic of credit unions is that they operate according to a set of principles to guide them in putting their values into practice (International Co-operative Alliance (ICA) 2004). These principles are:

- **Voluntary and open membership** - open to all persons able to use their services and willing to accept the responsibilities of membership, without gender, social, racial, political or religious discrimination;

- **Democratic member control** - they are controlled by their members who actively participate in setting their policies and making decisions. Members have equal voting rights (one member, one vote). This implies that their affairs should be administered by persons elected or appointed in a manner agreed upon by the members and accountable to them. Democratic control requires that credit unions be sensitive to issues that affect ordinary members because it is the ordinary members who control credit unions;

- **Member economic participation** - members contribute equitably to, and democratically control, the capital;

- **Autonomy and independence** - they are self-help organizations controlled by their members. If they enter into agreements with other organizations or raise capital from external sources, they do so on terms that ensure democratic control by members and maintain their co-operative autonomy;

- **Education, training and information** - they provide education and training for their members, elected representatives, managers and employees so that they can contribute effectively to the development of their co-operatives;
-co-operation among co-operatives- they serve their members most effectively and strengthen the co-operative movement by working together through local, national, regional and international structures; and

-concern for community- they work for the sustainable development of their communities through policies approved by their members.

These principles have been adopted internationally as guidelines for all type of co-operatives including credit unions. A credit union code of ethics, and a statement of environmental responsibility, has evolved as additional principles that guide credit unions in their operations.

1.4.2 Emergence of Credit Unions in Canada

The first credit union in North America and Canada, the caisse populaire de Levis in Quebec, began operations in January 1901 with 80 members and $26.40 deposit. Founder Alphonse Desjardins, a reporter in the Canadian parliament, was motivated to form the credit union when he heard the growing debate over an anti-usury law (Central 1 Credit Union 2008).

Outside Quebec, the first financial co-operative was Ottawa’s Civil Service Savings and Loan Society, established in 1908. Credit unions subsequently spread to the Prairie Provinces, where they developed largely as a response to difficulties faced by farmers in obtaining financing during the Great depression of the 1930s. Over the next six decades, credit unions, particularly in Ontario and British Columbia, enjoyed significant growth in response to strong demand for financial services.

The variety of financial services that a credit union provides depends to some extend on its size. The Vancouver City Savings Credit Union, for example, at the end of 2002 had more than 340,000 members and $10.5 billion assets, and operated in 42 branches, provided its members with every kind of financial service that is available (Landcor Data Corporation 2002). There are
several large, full service credit unions in each province. Some of them are in urban centers while others are not. At the opposite end of the size spectrum, there are credit unions that have about 250 members, approximately $300,000 in assets, and operate only three mornings a week, for example. All credit unions, though, even the smallest credit unions in the most remote locations, provide services that their members find valuable. If they did not, credit unions would cease to exist.

Credit unions play a distinct and growing role in providing financial services to Canadians. Credit unions are also playing an increasingly important role in the small businesses sector and are the second largest lender to small businesses in the Canadian economy (Lou Hammond and Brown 2009). Canada has the world’s largest per capita membership in credit unions with one in three Canadians a member of credit union. Credit unions are concentrated in Quebec and in the Western provinces. In 2009 they were approximately 953 credit unions with assets in excess of $237 billion (CUCC 2009).

1.4.3 Credit Union Structure in Canada

Credit unions in Canada are hierarchically structured in a three tier system of local, provincial and national organizations. At the base of the system are the local credit unions, which operate autonomously and are provincially regulated. They are the primary shareholder members of the provincial centrals, the second tier in the system. The provincial centrals are responsible for ensuring liquidity at the provincial level and providing services as a trade association. They also provide wholesale lending and facilitate settlement of checks and electronic payments for local credit unions.

The provincial centrals are in turn, the primary shareholder members of Credit Union Central of Canada (CUCC), which functions as the system's national trade association and service
provider. CUCC is responsible for establishing liquidity policy and overseeing liquidity maintenance at the national level. It also works in partnership with the national credit union system to stimulate growth, improve cost-competitiveness and enhance the effectiveness of the democratic process. It also gives the credit unions a national voice on financial service issues.

Ownership and corporate governance of credit unions are based on co-operative principles, and the primary commitment of credit unions is to serve their members’ financial needs. Membership eligibility may include being part of a ‘common bond of association,’ such as an industry, trade union, club or community, religious or ethnic background, or being a resident of a defined geographic area. Just as members can form a credit union, they can also dissolve their credit union or merge with another.

All credit unions are provincially incorporated. Consequently, the industry is almost exclusively regulated at the provincial level. The federal government does, however, play a regulatory role in the credit union movement through some of the centrals. The national central, the CUCC, is chartered and regulated by the federal government, which can provide the CUCC with liquidity support through the Bank of Canada or the Canada Deposit Insurance Corporation (CDIC). In addition, the provincial centrals in British Columbia, Alberta, Saskatchewan, Manitoba, Ontario and Nova Scotia have chosen to register under both federal and provincial legislation.

The legislative and regulatory framework for credit unions generally parallels that of federal controlled financial organizations, such as banks. In addition, the provinces provide deposit insurance for members of credit unions. Alberta, Saskatchewan, British Columbia and Manitoba provide unlimited deposit insurance, while the other provinces provide coverage at least as

---

2 Most credit unions today are open to anyone who wishes to join.
3 Every province has a Credit Union Act except Newfoundland, where credit unions are incorporated under the co-operative societies Act.
generous as that provided by CDIC (Department of Finance 2008; Lou Hammond and Brown 2009).

1.4.4 Recent Developments

Today, the credit union movement is keeping pace with product and service developments in Canada's financial services sector. For example, the movement is becoming more active in the sale and distribution of mutual funds. In some provinces, such as British Columbia and Quebec, it is involved in the sale of insurance. In Quebec, where provincially chartered financial organizations are allowed to sell insurance within branches, credit unions are especially active in the insurance market.

Technological changes continue to push the credit union movement to re-evaluate the most appropriate method of delivering services to its members. Many credit unions are now offering services over the Internet. Some credit unions are loosening their ‘common bond of association’ restrictions to allow them to provide services to a wider clientele (Department of Finance 2008).

Like the rest of Canada’s financial services industry, credit unions are facing challenges of increasing competitive pressures and continued advances in technology. In recent years, there have been many amalgamations of credit unions. The total number of credit unions decreased from 2,700 in 1990, to 1,595 in 2001, to 1,008 in 2008, and to 953 in 2009. This has led to an increase in the average size of credit unions, particularly in Ontario, British Columbia and the Prairies (Department of Finance 2008; CUCC 2008; CUCC 2009). These credit union mergers help to sustain ‘competitive balance’ by strengthening the ability of credit unions to compete against large commercial banks. Amalgamations also assist credit unions to achieve better operating efficiency and to be able to support increasing technology costs. Credit unions in Canada remain smaller compared to the banks due to their limitation of provincial operation.
However, they have grown in size through mergers and acquisitions. Costs are lower for larger credit unions and therefore they can afford to offer better services to their members and a wider variety of products. However, as credit unions become more and more ‘bank like’, the role they play in communities, and thus their potential in enhancing community growth, may be compromised.

1. 5 Organization of the Thesis

The study will be organized into seven chapters. The first chapter has introduced the research problem and outlined the objective of the thesis. The next chapter highlights the background and the motivation for the study. Chapter three provides a literature review of Canadian rural growth challenges and opportunities, and the perceived role of credit unions in community growth. Chapter four discusses the theoretical framework for the study. Chapter five presents the empirical implementation of the theoretical framework and discusses the estimation methods, followed by econometric results and their discussion in chapter six. Chapter seven concludes giving the summary of the research findings and possible future research extensions.
Chapter 2: Background and Motivation

2.0 Introduction

This chapter presents the motivation for the investigation in this study. We use interviews with a particular credit union and a particular chartered bank in Saskatchewan to inform our modeling of the role of credit unions in rural communities. Methods used to gather the background information are discussed, and findings presented.

2.1 Background Information

The mergers of credit unions in recent years have resulted in the growth in asset sizes of credit unions and a reduction in the number of credit unions. Technological changes in the financial sector and credit union mergers have created an occasion for credit unions to review the way that they provide services to their clients.

To gain a better understanding of the activities of credit unions and chartered banks with respect to rural economic support activities and to inform our quantitative analysis, we conducted interviews with a particular credit union and a particular chartered bank in Saskatchewan. Our interview results guide our conceptualization of differences (if any) between credit unions and chartered banks and provide the basis for the study hypothesis. Further the understanding gained through this process is also useful in interpreting the results of our formal analysis.

In spite of potential limitations, information gathered through the interview process is usually valid and reliable and relevant to research question and objectives (Marshall and Rossman 1998). There is evidence that such qualitative information also reveals the attitude, beliefs, perceptions, context, constraints and solutions to the problem (Campion et al. 1994). These will be invaluable in structuring the model, interpreting the results and drawing inferences.
Our interviews were semi-structured (face to face) with managers of the chartered bank and the credit union in Saskatoon. Some of the advantages of interviews are that they: 1) enable the interviewer to establish rapport with respondent; 2) allow the interviewer to observe as well as listen; and 3) permit more complex questions to be asked. A semi-structured questionnaire (attached in the Appendix A) with a list of themes and questions was used to guide the interviews. The information gathered in these interviews allowed us to compare the practices and intent of these two types of financial organizations in promoting rural economic vitality.

2.2 Quality Control of Interview Information

Potential problems of qualitative research include: 1) reliability of data collected; 2) forms of bias; and 3) lack of generalizations. Lack of standardization in the collection methods may lead to concerns about reliability. Bias may result from either the interviewer or the interviewee. Interviewer bias may emanate from comments, tones or non-verbal behavior that creates bias in the way that interviewee respond to the questions being asked. Interviewer bias may also result from the way responses are interpreted or recorded. Interviewee bias may occur where the interviewee is unable to develop trust or where the credibility of the interviewer is perceived to be in doubt. The result is that the value of the information given maybe limited.

To mitigate these concerns the confidentiality of the interview process was stressed before the interview and participants were given consent forms that outlined how their confidentiality would be protected and how the information collected would be used and stored⁴. A copy of the consent form is attached in Appendix B. It was stressed that under no circumstances would be the names of the interviewees or their organizations be revealed without their consent. Participants were also given a copy of the ethics approval from the University Ethics Board that

---

⁴ These were signed both by the interviewer and the interviewee confirming that the interviewee understands how the data will be managed and used and the interviewer agreeing to act as specified.
showed that the interviews had been approved on ethical grounds. This was done in part to show the credibility of interview and in part to show the legitimacy of the study.

Participants were also told that the interview would be recorded and transcribed and they would have the opportunity to change or delete anything they might wish to before the data were used in the analysis. The interviewer also stressed that the participants had a right to withdraw from the interview without penalty and that no data would be used in such an event. They were also given the option to choose not to answer any questions to which they might not want to respond. Giving the participants the right to control the information they give helps mitigate interviewee bias and improves trust levels.

The semi structured instrument was also chosen to mitigate potential problems with interviewer bias. Semi-structured interviews are conducted with a fairly open framework which allows for focused, conversational, two-way communication. They can be used both to give and receive information. Semi structured interviews are flexible (as they use open ended questions) and they provide the interviewer the opportunity to probe or to build on the responses. The problem of the interviewer pre-determining what will and what will not be discussed in the interview is minimized. With few ‘pre-set questions’ involved, the interviewer is not ‘pre-judging’ what is important and what is not important information. This reduces the probability that the beliefs of the interviewer influence the outcomes.

A voice recorder was used in both interviews (with the consent of the interviewee) to control against bias in recording responses\textsuperscript{5}. Use of the recorder also allows undivided attention to listening and opportunities for probing. At the end of the interview more general open ended

\textsuperscript{5} Interviewee were given an option of shutting off the recorder should they feel to speak off the record and that information would not be used.
questions were asked to help capture anything that might have been missed but important to the study.

2.3 Structural Differences between Banks and Credit Unions

The regulatory structure of the Canadian financial services sector plays an important role in the operations of banks and credit unions. This section looks at the regulatory framework and structures of credit unions in Saskatchewan and chartered banks.

2.3.1 Operation and Regulation of Chartered Banks

Chartered banks in Canada are regulated by the Bank Act which has three main goals: a) protecting depositors’ funds; b) insuring maintenance of cash reserves; and 3) promoting efficiency of the financial system through competition. Under this Act banks are divided into schedule I and schedule II banks. Under schedule I no single person may control more than 10 percent of voting stock and foreign ownership is limited to 25 percent (Canadian encyclopedia 2009). Schedule II banks are closely held (few people control the majority of voting stock) and many are owned by their parent companies (who are mostly foreigners). Government controls the size of schedule II banks except for the U.S.-owned banks which are exempt, in keeping with the provision of free trade agreement. At least $10 million dollars is a requirement to start a bank.

Schedule I banks are further divided into a three tier ownership that is based on the size of the bank. Small banks are less than one billion dollars in equity. Medium banks are between one and five billion dollars in equity, while large banks have more than five billion dollars in equity. The insurance deposit for the banks has a limit of up to $100,000 per person (Canadian dollars) and this is provided through the Canada Deposit Insurance Corporation (CDIC 2009). This
insurance is restricted to member registered institutions. Only specific accounts are insured. For example deposits in foreign currency and mutual funds and stocks are not insured.\textsuperscript{6}

2.3.2 Operation and Regulation of Credit Unions in Saskatchewan\textsuperscript{7}

Each credit union is an autonomous separate entity owned and controlled by its members, which consist primarily of local people. By purchasing a share in the credit union for a minimal cost, customers of credit union become members. Each member owns one share and has one vote. This democratic control helps to ensure that the needs of the members are met as opposed to investor-owned companies where, the number of vote is shares determined. Members vote on major decisions, like electing a board of directors, to control financial stake of the owners in the organization. In the credit union model the board hires the general manager of the credit union (Saskatchewan Credit Unions 2009).

The Credit Union Act (1998) provides the overall framework for the incorporation and regulation of credit unions in Saskatchewan. The Act describes the responsibilities, obligations and powers of credit unions, the Registrar of Credit Unions and the Credit Union Deposit Guarantee Corporation (CUDGC). Credit Union Deposit Guarantee Corporation is given responsibility by the provincial government to guarantee the full amount of funds on deposit with Saskatchewan credit unions and to provide preventive services that support the financial strength of credit unions.

CUDGC acts as the primary regulator of the credit unions and puts provincial deposit protection programs in place that require credit unions to operate in a prudent manner by complying with the standards of sound business practice. In addition to this, the CUDGC prescribe requirements for the policies and procedures each credit union must have to manage

\textsuperscript{6} These deposits are deliberately placed to take risks for gains.
\textsuperscript{7} Credit unions in Canada are provincially regulated hence the reason for focusing on credit unions in Saskatchewan.
and control exposure to risk (CUDGC 2009). The standard areas of sound business practice include: 1) corporate governance; 2) strategic management; 3) capital and profitability management; and 4) risk management. The application of these standards depends on the size, complexity and product and services offerings of a credit union. Some of the restrictions that guide credit unions are included in table 2.1.

Table 2.1: Credit Unions Selected Restrictions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Credit Unions Selected Restrictions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Aggregate loans to any individual must not exceed credit unions loan’s limit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Aggregate of all related party transactions with credit union cannot exceed 20 percent of credit union assets based on the most recent audited financial statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Loan on residential property cannot exceed 80 percent of fair market value while, loan on real property other than residential cannot exceed 75 percent of fair market value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Maximum net aggregate foreign currency exposure cannot exceed 5 percent of eligible capital.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Credit union aggregate overdraft cannot exceed 5 percent of the eligible capital while overdraft per individual cannot exceed 1 percent of the eligible capital.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Individual aggregate loans may not exceed 5 percent of credit union assets, while aggregate loans per individual local government body may not exceed 10 percent of assets.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: CUDGC

2.4 Differences and Similarities between Credit Union and Chartered Bank

Though each interview was restricted to one hour, the amount of information collected, comprising of 40 transcribed pages provided valuable insights to this study. The interview results are divided into five sections and are presented in table 2.2. The five sections are: 1) nature of the clients’ base in rural communities; 2) lending portfolios and business support; 3) lending process and default rates in rural areas; 4) relationships between deposits, lending and investments; and lastly 5) other forms of community support.
Table 2.2: Structured Interview Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business activity</th>
<th>Credit Union</th>
<th>Bank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of rural client base</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Farmers</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Agricultural businesses</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Other rural businesses</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Consumers</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Non-profit sector</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Municipalities</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lending portfolios and business support</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Traditional lending</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Consumer lending</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Commercial lending</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Small and medium enterprise lending</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-traditional lending</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Micro-lending</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Community economic development lending</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lending process and default rates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Same lending process for both the urban and rural communities</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• There is no differences in the default rates between the rural and urban</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Relationship between deposits, lending and investments</strong></td>
<td>No geographical relationship between deposits, lending and investments</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other forms of community support</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sponsorships</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Staff volunteer time in community activities</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results indicate that the chartered bank and the credit union are comparable in terms of business activities and client base. What is different between the two is that, in addition to these activities, the credit union participates in micro-lending and community economic development lending. Though this cannot be generalized for all credit unions it sheds some light on the practices of credit unions that may be different from banks.⁸

⁸ Because of the national and centralized structure of chartered banks it is more likely that the chartered banks results can be generalized. Credit unions are more likely to be idiosyncratic due to their local control.
2.4.1 Nature of the Rural Client Base

For the bank individuals and personal businesses constitute a large share of their rural clients. Other rural clients include municipalities, farmers, non-profit sector and agribusinesses. The credit union rural client base consists of a mixture of farmers, agribusiness, rural businesses, consumers and the non-profit sector.

Both organizations acknowledge that the nature and type of rural clients is diverse. The southern and northern parts of the province are different in terms of industry structures, and agriculture borrowers are no longer confined to the rural population. The credit union confirmed that agriculture forms a bigger share of urban client base than it used to because some of the farmers in Saskatchewan are part time farmers, living in urban areas and farming in the rural areas.

This realization by financial organizations that agriculture is no longer synonymous with rural is important because today a lot of growth potential, in terms of population base for the rural economy, is no longer agriculture. A heterogeneous rural client base means that all sectors are of equal importance and that no sector is marginalised. This is critical given the diversity of rural areas today.

2.4.2 Lending Portfolios

Both financial organizations have traditional lending as a common lending portfolio. This traditional lending portfolio includes: consumer lending; commercial lending; and small and medium enterprise lending. Consumer lending is for personal clients and can include: personal loans; credit cards; and residential mortgages. Commercial lending provides credit needs to big businesses with larger and usually more complex credit needs. Small and medium enterprises lending provides credit needs to businesses with relatively smaller credit needs. These businesses
normally have less than a million dollars of income. Neither financial organization makes a
 distinction between a farming business and non-farming business. Further, neither financial
organization makes any distinction between rural and urban in their lending portfolios.

In addition to the traditional lending, the credit union has two forms of non-traditional
lending: micro-lending; and community economic development lending. Micro-lending is
extended to small businesses that are not eligible for traditional lending either because they are
considered to have a lack of experience, or they do not have a sufficient credit rating. Because of
this such businesses are considered high risk lending and very few, if any financial organizations
would consider extending loans to them.

The credit union partners with Western Economic Diversification in extending micro-lending
to some of these businesses. Western Economic Diversification provides the credit union a loan
loss provision on all the micro-lending (to cover some of the losses in cases some of the
businesses do not pay back).

Despite the fact that any financial organization can partner with Western Economic
Diversification in micro-lending program very few of the financial organizations take up this
offer mainly because they still consider it high risk lending. The credit union however, has a low
rate of loan default on micro-loans, of about 2.5 percent. Credit union success in micro-lending
was attributed to the amount of mentorship and that the organization puts into the program. Their
staff devotes a lot of time in providing business advice, training and follow-ups.

Community economic development loan program considers mortgage financing, lines of
credit and financing for various types of projects that have strong community support and a good
business track record. In most cases these projects cannot be funded through the traditional loans.
Because these loan types have a strong community bearing, community committees are usually
involved and they provide some input into the lending process. Though these committees do not make the final decision on the loans, they provide information about the business such as local knowledge that will be considered in the internal loan decision making of the credit union.

These non-traditional lending portfolios are important in that they are one way of addressing market failure in the small businesses' access to start-ups loans. Information asymmetry might be the cause of individuals or businesses not qualifying for traditional loans. That is, credit ratings and other information that banks or credit unions rely on for risk assessment though they offer valuable information, this information may not be available for some loan applicants. Knowledge of the individual, business or community, if available, may serve as a substitute for the standard risk information. In non-traditional lending personal and community information may be available, and a more detailed personal interview may substitute for standard risk assessment tools. In addition, mentorship and follow-ups allow credit unions to monitor loan beneficiaries and lower the risk of defaults.

2.4.3 Small Business Support

Small and medium enterprise lending is a common form of small business support for both the bank and the credit union. While the credit union offers some non-traditional lending, the bank is sceptical about the non-traditional lending. They refer businesses that fall outside the traditional lending to government programs like the Canadian Small Business Financing Program or to the Business Development Corporation.

Non-traditional lending provided by the credit union is important because small businesses are a significant contributor to the economy and employment generation, but the securing of credit by these businesses has been a continuous challenge, mainly in terms of assets that could back the credit needs. Though the credit union plays a significant role in non-traditional lending,
its capacity is limited because regulators restrict non-traditional lending to a maximum of one percent of their total book value. Credit union mergers and syndication in the last 10 years may have helped to increase this capacity.

Credit union mergers increase their capacity to provide services to their clients, while syndication is a process which allows credit unions to partner in providing larger loan sizes beyond their limits. Some credit unions may have high demand for loans while, others may have a low demand and syndication allows these credit unions to partner and to share loan demand.

In the U.S. credit unions have a special type of lending called peer to peer lending where, credit unions may put business plans on their official websites and other members can make financial contribution towards those businesses. Though there is no guarantee that the members will get their money back, peer to peer lending has been a success in the U.S. with delinquency rate of less than 1 percent (American Consumer News 2009).

2.4.4 Lending Process and Defaults Rates

Both financial organizations have the same process of lending to rural and urban areas. Both the bank and the credit union have some rural branches that are too small to have resident loan advisors or loan officers. In such cases these branches are serviced by officers who work on schedules that service several branches. However, clients also have the option of going to bigger branches directly for such services.

The process of approving traditional loans is basically the same for both organizations. They have a credit decision model which looks at key ratios such as debt servicing ability, security, credit history, net worth and other financial information. For both organizations, there are loan limits for branch loan officers. Beyond those limits, the loan application must go to an adjudication centre for the bank, and to head office for the credit union.
What might be different in the process of loan processing, for both the bank and the credit union, is the length of time it might take to approve loans across different sectors. Agricultural loans usually interface with some government programs that impact agriculture. For example, dairy loans need guarantees that the government gives under milk quotas and guarantees on livestock so the processing might take a longer time.

For both organizations loan default rates are not different between urban and rural areas. The economic performance of particular sector drives default rates. If agriculture as a sector faces more challenges than other sectors, then default rates will be higher. Or if a community depends on a mine and for some reason that mine closes causing significant job losses then default rates will be observed geographically, but *a priori* there are no reasons to believe that there are differences in default rates between the rural and urban clients.

2.4.5 Relationship between Deposits, Lending and Investments

For both the bank and the credit union there is no relationship between deposits collected in a geographical area and the amount of lending and investments made in that area. Deposits of clients in each community may be used for local lending, but may also be used for lending internationally or in other communities. The lending portfolio depends on the demand for loans and investment opportunities.

For a credit union loans and investments are regulated by CUDGC. One hundred percent of all deposits are guaranteed and CUDGC also restricts the operations of credit unions including loans and investment. In addition to limitations on micro lending, a credit union is also restricted in terms of the instruments in which it can invest. For example, maximum net aggregate foreign currency exposure is restricted to a maximum of 5 percent of the eligible capital and a credit
union cannot invest in risky instruments like mutual funds. The bank, though regulated by Bank Act, is less restricted in the instruments it can or cannot invest in.

2.4.6 Other Forms of Community Support

Outside the normal business activities, both organizations are involved in other forms of community support and these are not necessarily on a ‘for profit’ basis, of interest is that the bank does not distinguish between sponsorships and community development, while the credit union clearly make this distinction. Common to both organizations are sponsorships and staff volunteer time in community activities. Most of these programs focus on the youth, education and health. For both the credit union and the bank, employees are the face of their respective organizations and they get support in the activities they take to represent their organizations.

2.5 Conclusion

The interviews provided some insights into the basic operations of a credit union and a chartered bank. Most of the business operations and practices are the same, and neither organization makes a specific distinction between rural and urban clients. The major differences between the credit union and the chartered bank however, were noted in their lending portfolios, business support and investments. The credit union in addition to the traditional lending, participates in non-traditional lending that takes personal and community information into account in assessing the eligibility of the borrower. They also support community economic development.

The impact of credit unions on rural communities (if any) is likely to be through non-traditional lending options that chartered banks tend to avoid. A wider network of credit union branches in most of the rural areas will likely place them in a better position to provide non-traditional lending to community businesses. Ties with communities might enable credit unions
to effectively carry out these non-traditional lending. Because non-traditional lending involves community committees and mentorship of businesses, this makes them self-policing. Borrowers are less likely to default on such loans because the community is involved one way or the other and relationships are formed in the process (social capital). These results may indicate that credit union presence in the communities might enhance an entrepreneurial spirit in the communities and promote business growth, especially for those entrepreneurs that cannot access funds from the mainstream financial providers. Lending to these local entrepreneurs may based on information other than standard risk assessment methods, thus addressing market failure in the small business start-ups access to loans.

Results have indicated that credit unions have additional services to offer to the communities through community economic development lending and micro-lending and thus might have an impact on the rural communities in terms of small business development. This should, all else constant translate into better performance by the community in terms of population growth and/or retention. It should be noted however, that at the maximum, this type of lending is only one percent of their lending portfolio.

Despite the credit union mergers that have been occurring over the years and the changing business environment for credit unions, the interview results offer preliminary indications that credit unions still differentiate themselves from the main stream finance organizations and still have a commitment to communities. The next step will be to see if evidence of the influence of credit unions can be found using econometric analysis of the role of credit unions in community population change.
Chapter 3: Literature Review

3.0 Introduction

Rural economic development remains important for rural participation in national economic growth. Rural areas in Canada experience various constraints in rural revitalization and growth. This chapter reviews selected literature applicable to Canadian rural areas’ historical development, challenges, policies and approaches drawing upon the experience of Canada and United States and from other countries where necessary. Lastly this review looks at the emerging perceived role of credit unions in community economic development. Both theoretical and empirical literatures are explored.

3.1 Historical Overview of Canadian Rural Development

Prior to the 20th century, the development of natural resources (fur, fish, minerals, lumber and agriculture) for export to the European markets offered attractive economic opportunities that shaped subsequent development of the early Canadian economy. Rural areas had the function of providing an export base and attracting foreign capital and receiving public investments. Developing these rural based industries was consistent with national objectives (Partridge and Olfert 2008; Freshwater 2007; Deavers 1992).

Until the 1930s, the main national policy in Western Canada was settlement driven. Consequently national policy was rural development policy and the main focus for rural development was expansion of agriculture, so rural development was targeted through agricultural policy. Land was surveyed and made available for farming and people were recruited from Europe to populate the new territory. While urban centers grew rapidly over this period and their functions expanded to include manufacturing and tertiary services, the main development focus was on establishing agriculture in the rural areas. The primary function of cities was to
provide a transshipment function where goods and people from Europe arrived and raw materials left (Fowke 1978).

Since the early 20th century, technological changes and falling transport costs gradually led to the replacement of the primary sector as the primary source of economic value and especially as a source of employment. Out-migration from rural areas has been persistent and dramatic, largely because farming became mechanized and scale economies in farming increased generating surplus labor. Continued out-migration of labor from farming was compounded by lack of alternative economic activities in the rural areas to absorb the surplus labor.

During the Great Depression exports declined precipitously, farm income fell and policy shifted from the linkage between national policy and agriculture to farm support as a way to control out-migration of farm families, reduce environmental degradation in the rural areas and limit the consequences of a collapsed export market for natural resources. Farm policy was restricted to enhancing incomes of farmers rather than being the instrument for a larger rural development policy (Freshwater 2007).

In the years since World War II farming has been replaced by other sources of income and employment in the majority of rural areas. Though the land base of agriculture has remained remarkably stable over the last century, the majority of the rural population, even in farm dependent areas is now employed outside agriculture. As the relative role of farming and farmers in rural Canada declined, farm policy became less effective in meeting rural development goals yet rural development was still addressed primarily through funds flowing to farms. Farm lobbies continued to argue that farmers were disadvantaged even when their incomes approached and even exceeded national averages. Farm support crowded out funding for other forms of rural development particularly as farm interests continued to be successful in arguing that the old
logic of farm support being synonymous with rural development still held (Partridge and Olfert 2008; Freshwater 2007).

At the same time non-farm related rural interests continued to be neglected. Within the national government the Department of Agriculture was the main contact point for both farm and rural policy. All other rural issues were channeled through the agricultural bureaucracy. Not surprisingly much of the bureaucracy and the political staff in agriculture were neither familiar with, nor particularly interested, in non-farm rural issues (Freshwater 2007). Efforts by rural advocates to shift the responsibility to other line agencies that were more familiar with specific issues such as housing, health care, transportation or industrial development were typically unsuccessful because these agencies had a largely urban focus and failed to appreciate how rural was different. Further, because the agriculture department had been assigned the lead role, other agencies were in a weak position to initiate programs even if they had wanted to.

Rural areas continued to decline and depopulate and the plight of most rural areas did not improve. The share of population living in the rural and small town areas had declined to 20 percent by 2006 (Statistics Canada 2009).

Rural depopulation makes it hard to achieve the necessary economies of scale for the provision of public services. Low population densities affect the performance of rural economies by increasing the per capita costs of infrastructure and other public investments (Moser and Wessen 1999; Deavers 1992; Mann 2005). Small scale poses similar competitive challenges for the private sector in rural communities as demand threshold may not be met and economies of agglomeration not achievable. The low population densities of most rural areas generate very limited opportunity for new businesses to establish or for the expansion of the existing businesses.
Rural depopulation was synonymous with increased relative importance of urban areas, both in terms of economic activity and population. The subsequent rise of professional services as a key sector in the economy requiring large markets, frequent face-to-face contact between buyers and sellers and access to urban amenities contributed further to urban concentration.

3.2 Rural Development Challenges

Kilkenny (1998) defines rural development as economic diversification, and an increase in population and welfare. This is the definition adopted in this study. The steadily falling population in rural communities is an indicator of rural decline as people ‘vote with their feet’ to relatively preferred places.

Lagging rural areas became an explicit concern during the great depression and since then have been part of the national policy agendas (Partridge and Olfert 2008). Rural policy fifty years ago was easily described as a mix of agricultural policy along with a collection of smaller programs that supported the creation of rural infrastructure through electrification, construction of water and sewer systems and provision of telephone services. These policies no longer suit rural areas today.

The shift in rural Canada from being primarily a farm based economy in the 1920s to one where farming is now just a small part of the rural economy has made farm policy of any type a limited rural policy tool. One of the likely possible explanations for lagging rural areas is the absence of a new rural policy for the new rural environment that exists today. The following factors constitute the new rural environment: 1) the importance of agglomeration economies; 2) spatial location advantages; 3) decline of natural resource base and the global changes of economic activity; and 4) the decreasing rural political influence.
3.2.1 The Effect of Agglomeration Economies

Agglomeration economies can be defined as the positive externalities that exist outside the firm but within the industry (localization economies) or within the broader urban area (urbanization economies) (Rosenthal and Strange 2001; Viladecans-Marsal 2004). Localization economies arise from spatial concentration of activity within industries. Marshall (1920) identified three sources of localization economies. First is the sharing of inputs whose production involves internal increasing returns to scale. The second is labor market pooling, where agglomeration allows a better match between an employer’s needs and the worker’s skills and reduces risk for both. The third source is knowledge spillovers that take place when an industry is localized allowing workers to learn from each other, possibly increasing their productivity.

Urbanization economies are external to both the firm and the industry. Firms find it profitable to locate in an urban area even when there are no cost advantages to geographic concentration for their industry. A good example could be the availability of public infrastructure such as transit system or airports. By providing good highways, public utilities and communication facilities, an urban area significantly lowers the cost to all firms doing business in an urban area. Another example of urbanization economies is the city size itself, which represents access to a big market.

The attraction of agglomeration economies has resulted in the concentration of economic activity in urban areas. The shifting of comparative advantage and absolute advantage from rural to urban areas has altered their relative fortunes. Whilst urban areas have continued to grow and have emerged as drivers of the Canadian economy, rural areas, especially those dependent on primary production have experienced chronic population loss as new labor-saving technologies have been continuously adopted (Stabler and Olfert 2002).
Key exceptions to this pattern are the rural areas offering amenity-rich life styles or easy access to urban concentrations, which are emerging as new rural growth areas (Ferguson et al. 2007; McGranahan 2008). These places provide alternatives to urban population who wish to escape from the negative externalities of agglomeration that includes pollution, congestion and high land prices. However, many rural areas still continue to suffer from lagging economic conditions relative to the urban areas, as well as pockets of rural poverty which, remain a concern for rural Canada.

3.2.2 Effects of Spatial Location Advantages

Spatial locational differences of rural areas are becoming more important but in a different way than in the past. Historically the wealth of a rural region depended on the presence of extractable resources, such as soil quality, minerals or trees. Today natural resources that are most important in terms of supporting population are no longer extracted and are based upon amenities like scenery, climate and history. In the U.S. rapid population growth has occurred in the Rocky Mountains, southeast Texas, around Atlanta and in Florida in the 1990s (Goetz 2003). Further, distance of a rural community from a growing urban center affects its participation in labor markets and access to higher ordered services available in urban centers.

Demographic shifts based on these spatial characteristics and proximity to growing urban centers continues to have powerful impacts on rural areas. Some rural communities are being subjected to rapid development because they are highly desired by higher-income urban residents seeking to escape congestion and pollution or wishing to establish second homes for weekend getaways. Many of these rural communities are becoming urbanized as they attract growing populations. In other places the population continues to fall, leading to concerns that there are too few people to maintain local government services and retail businesses.
3.2.3 Decline of Natural Resource Base and Global Changes

A natural resource base that provided many rural areas with their initial economic base appears to be experiencing considerably volatility and ongoing price declines. Long time falling prices in natural resources put pressure to reduce costs. In an internationally competitive environment and given the available technologies, capital has been continuously substituting labor resulting in further job losses in rural communities that are dependent on natural resources. These rural areas will have to search for innovative ways to develop new economic functions if they are to survive. Traditional commodity production is now been challenged by public pressure for alternative uses of the resource base that involve passive uses instead of extraction.

For most of the 20th century the substitution of capital for labor in rural areas led to out-migration to urban areas. Rural workers who were displaced were able to find better paying jobs in urban areas. Today however, the combination of reduced urban demand for low skilled workers and an influx of recent immigrants to urban areas have made rural-to-urban migration a less effective adaptation to ongoing job losses. Moreover, out-migration without good employment prospects is counter-productive because it may impose higher costs on the individuals and society than leaving these workers in their current communities. As a result some rural communities have suffered from labor surplus and continue to suffer from lagging economic conditions relative to the urban areas. In the U.S. between 1969 and 2000, rural-urban per capita income differences have widened from a 29 percent gap to a 31 percent gap (Freshwater and Scorsone 2002).

The dominance of the services sector as the driver of most economies is making human capital an increasingly important influence on prosperity. In the past rural areas had been less concerned with making investments in human capital, as they could rely on place specific
resources to provide an economic function. Not only is human capital expensive to create but it also tends to be mobile. Unless rural areas can find ways to compensate workers and retain workers they will have a hard time keeping skilled people in the community.

More open trade regimes as a result of globalization have had disproportionately large effects on rural areas because they produce a much higher share of tradable goods than urban areas. Moreover most of these goods are readily produced in developing countries with lower labor costs and less stringent environmental regulations (Barkley 1995). As capital flows became less restricted investments in developing countries in industries that directly compete with rural firms make the labor cost differential even more significant.

3.2.4 Decreasing Rural Political Influence

Rural political influence continues to decline as population migrates to urban areas. As the relative population size shifts, so does the relative importance of the region in national economic goals (Partridge and Olfert 2008). As the urbanites became a stronger political force they may have little interest in the domestic rural concerns. For many urban people, rural areas are seen primarily as having value because of natural and cultural amenities, provision of safe food, and provision of the recreational facilities. Urban interests may try to block changes that reduce their access to these perceived benefits even if doing so disadvantages rural people.

Most of the benefits of rural development are local in nature and those residing in the communities are often the main beneficiaries of the process. When there is little national interest there may be no or little larger public interest. Other claims on federal funds might be seen as more pressing than those advanced by rural interests, especially if the federal budget is in a deficit position. This might mean that capital for rural development will have to come mainly from resources within rural communities.
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One of the reasons farm support remains a popular public policy and the influence of the farm lobby continues to be a powerful force is that all citizens eat, so a stable supply of reasonably priced food is in everyone’s interest. In addition, foods exports contribute to foreign currency earning that allow the urban consumers to purchase foreign made consumer goods (Castle1993; Rowley 1996).

As a result of one or a combination of these factors, diversity of rural places has been increasing over time. When farming dominated rural areas and most farms were similar in size, technology and production, there was greater similarity among rural places and it was easy to use sector-based policy approach to rural development. Today even rural areas that are in close geographic proximity or those that have similar economic functions can be very different. This sheer diversity of rural Canada, from agricultural communities to manufacturing intensive places or retirement destination make it difficult for governments to create and manage a coherent set of rural development polices.

3.3 Rural Development Policies and Approaches: Overview

Differences among rural people and places in Canada make it inappropriate to maintain sector based policies (Partridge and Olfert 2008). The problem in formulating rural policy is further complicated by the absence of a common definition of rural and the need for policy makers to both accommodate rapidly changing conditions and balance diverse interests. To date policies that has been implemented fall into two broad categories: place based policies and people based policies.

Place based policies are those policies where the location of the beneficiary is a key criterion for eligibility. These policies have broad economic and quality of life goals pertaining to a particular rural location or to rural areas in general. People based policies, on the other hand,
target individuals. Place based and people based policies however, are not necessarily mutually exclusive. Place based policies can also target specific people or sectors. The target of all policy is ultimately people hence ideally the promotion of place (or commodities or sectors) is only undertaken if those policies ultimately make people better off. Further, people based policies need to be delivered in a spatial context, possibly creating a place based orientation. Likewise, policies that affect governance structures and infrastructure are by definition place based though individuals may be primary targets (Partridge et al. 2009).

3.3.1 Place Based Policies

Conventional place based policies focused primarily on the recruitment of firms to the rural communities. Focus on recruitment of manufacturing firms was inspired by massive out-migration of labor from agriculture primarily due to technological advances. The primary goal was to create local jobs and the focus was mainly on the job counts rather than wages paid or the interaction of the recruited firms (Goetz 2003). Firms were encouraged in rural areas by a combination of low cost access to natural resources, capital grants, tax reductions, the provision of infrastructure and other cost reducing supports. This approach was based on accountants’ ideas of what a good business climate would be (Henderson 2006). The intent was to offset the two key impediments of a rural location: transport costs and limited quantities of quality labor.

Despite the increased efforts in modifying industrial incentives many argue that the firms receiving assistance would have located in that area without the use of incentives (Bartik 1991; Papke and Papke1986; Fisher and Peters 1998; Schmenner 1991). A firm’s primary goal in selecting a new industrial site is to find a location that will allow the firm to maximize its profits and in most instances, production costs including taxes are not the only considerations in
searching for a new site. In addition to production costs, firms also look at transportation costs and agglomeration forces (Johnson 2001; Henry and Drabenstott 1996).

Agglomeration economies represent the cost savings that accrue to firms that locate in communities with a relatively large concentration of manufacturing or commercial business activity. The concentration of activity tends to provide broader access to markets, business activities, skilled labor and knowledge spillovers.

The effort to attract new firms into the community has been generally non selective. Attempts have been undertaken without a comprehensive strategy to maximize the net benefits of the new investment to the community. Henderson (2006) found that a number of communities that have succeeded in attracting new industries have found that they have not produced the net benefits expected. Frequently, there have been offsetting losses to existing businesses. Some existing businesses have simply been replaced, for example, downtown merchants by suburban centers, and others have found their resource base destroyed by newcomers. At worst this approach has simply set into motion an international set of con artists and plain crooks who demand grants, incentives and tax advantages to set up plants that employ workers at low wages. They move in, skim off the cream, and then move on to another ‘disadvantaged’ area (Schmenner 1991). The approach merely pits communities against each other in a competitive attempt to lure new businesses.

Another problem with industrial recruitment is that as regions race to become more competitive, this might be a race to the bottom because competing and surrounding regions quickly match each new incentive. Thus, as regions continue to increase their incentive packages, especially through lower tax levels they are simply decreasing their ability to provide public services in the future (Rainey and McNamara 2002). A community that lowers its taxes
will not likely see long term competitive benefits but will face lower tax receipts throughout the future unless the tax reductions are reversed. This could lead to a decrease in public service quality or quantity in the area, which will make the area less attractive for future investment activity.

Further, when new jobs are created in a community through industrial recruitments, the jobs are often filled by outsiders who move into or commute from the surrounding areas largely because they are more skilled than the local labor force (Courant 1994; Partridge and Rickman 2003). Local unemployment rate may therefore remain unchanged after these new jobs are created and local residents may not experience expanded employment opportunities.

In recent years rural analysts and the academics have been advocating for different approaches to place based policies. These approaches focus on spatial characteristics of rural areas and spatial location in relation to urban areas and advocate the use of place based policies in conjunction with people based policies. Central to these approaches is the use of natural resources as amenities and strengthening of rural to urban linkages.

3.3.1.1 Natural Resources as Amenities

Since the 1960s, several forces have come together to alter the manner in which natural resources act as engines of economic growth. With the exception of oil production recently, international competition has led to most resource extractive industries in North America to lose their price competitiveness in world markets. The economic restructuring of the most developed economies toward a services base has significantly tempered the importance of natural raw materials as inputs for production (Chevan and Stokes 2000). Further, environmental awareness and political activism of urban audiences have provided strong criticism of extractive productive
practices by emphasizing adverse environmental impacts, threats to bio-diversity and sustainability and global environmental change.

These regional development issues have forced a re-examination of the uses and management of natural resources, particularly publicly owned, land-based resources such as forests and water resources. Natural resource management has broadened its focus to embrace non extractive environmentally sensitive land management practices that reflect broader non market values. Natural amenity rich communities have become aware that natural resources provide not only a source of physical raw material commodities but can also serve as a source of recreational use that provides a backdrop for tourism (Galston and Baehler 1995; Isserman 2000).

Natural amenities as quality of life factor are perceived to play a critical role in human migration and firm location decisions (Deller and Dissart 2000). Graves (1983) found that location specific amenities such as climate were significant in explaining population migration while, Powell (1982) found that both economic and amenity factors were important determinants of migration. Proponents of this approach argue that modest population growth in high amenity areas allows places to remain rural, while allowing the nature of communities to change to serve tourism and second homes.

3.3.1.2 Rural-Urban Linkages Approach

Approaches to strengthen rural-urban linkages are based on agglomeration economies of urban areas and positive spillover effects of urban growth to the adjacent rural areas. Economies of scale, scope in economic activity and the provision of urban amenities generate urban advantages that perpetuate the concentration of economic activity and population in and in closer proximity to them. Communities more proximate to a major center may be more attractive in
terms of economic strength and quality of life and resulting utility differentials produce net population flows.

Partridge et al. (2007) found evidence that major centers defined as metropolitan areas greater than 500,000 populations in size are engines of growth. The engine of growth hypothesis implies that there are more benefits attributable to major urban center than just the conventional spillovers due to commuting linkages and access to generic services that apply to any urban centre and its rural fringe. Proximity to a major center represents access to more potential markets as well as the highest-order business services and urban amenities.

Rural growth near urban growth centers results from ‘spread effects’. Spread effects are the positive externalities on the rural peripheral localities as they share in the growth and wealth of the primary growth centers. Rural areas that are well-linked to urban centers may then experience population and job growth. Population growth in rural areas may result from urban congestion as households seek rural amenities (suburbanization) and firms are attracted to lower land and labor costs in nearby rural areas, while retaining access to the urban center (decentralization). Rural job growth results from local services provided to the commuting rural population as they access urban jobs.

Generally, spread effects occur when rural population or employment growth originates from urban growth, regardless of the source that is agglomeration economies or decentralization or suburbanization. Partridge et al. (2007) found that adjacent rural areas capture over one third of the urban growth rates, while positive spread effects are felt up to 175km from the urban center. Strengthening rural-urban linkages through zoning, environmental and transportation policies that facilitate commuting access have been advocated by the proponents of this approach.
On the other hand, population and employment may decline as a result of increased economic activities in urban centers through “backwash” effect. Backwash effect normally occurs in those rural communities that have no strong rural-urban linkages. A growing urban center may cause rural-urban migration of households seeking employment opportunities and access to urban services and amenities. In such cases, then other policy options need to be pursued to prevent further decline of these rural areas.

3.3.2 People Based Policies

People based policies for economic development were inspired by the decline of natural resources and manufacturing along with the growing prominence of service and knowledge-based sectors. The basic idea that rural development should be based upon employment creation in rural areas was challenged by these policies. Central to people based policy is human resource enhancement which prepares people to enter the labor force, equip them for occupational changes and enhance their opportunities to be re-employed if they are displaced.

Proponents of human capital investment pointed to the effects of rapid technological change that replaces labor with capital and competition with developing countries where labor is relatively cheaper. They argue that improving human capital should lead to higher rates of labor productivity that would make rural places and workers competitive. Moreover, it should increase incomes if workers are able to capture some of the increase in productivity.

Beaulieu (2002) found that communities or regions that have greater shares of individuals with more years of formal education fare better on a variety of indicators including higher levels of income or lower unemployment rates. However, it should be noted that education and training will not necessarily improve earnings. Human capital development needs to be matched with job quality. To be most effective, a human resource development strategy must be an integral
component of high value added economic development to increase demand for skilled workers. If a rural worker pursues a college degree but is unable to find a job locally that requires college education that worker will likely leave the area to find work elsewhere that is commensurate with the new skills.

Though the logic of people based policies is compelling, these policies have not been widely adopted to address rural decline. One of the impediments in Canada was political. The idea of subsidiary was introduced as a way to move rural policy design to people based approaches. Delivery of policy was shifted away from federal government to provinces, primarily because education and skill development is largely the responsibility of provinces in Canada. However, a shift to human capital investment by provinces was not adequately supported with funding from the federal government and provincial governments had limited resources (Freshwater 2007). Moreover, not everyone can be rescued with this approach. There are inherent immobilities and pockets of poverty where location specific approaches may be required.

### 3.4 Local Development Approach to Rural Development

In the 1980s another thread of rural development approach gained importance. The Community Futures Program was introduced in Canada to address pockets of high unemployment in rural places by stimulating local business growth (Freshwater and Ehrensaft 1994). Local development strategy nurtures local entrepreneurial creativity, often based upon local resources (Sharp et al. 2002). The adoption of more locally based development approaches has shifted the focus of development away from resource extraction and export to improving internal linkages within communities opening the door for integration of place and people based policies (Radin at al. 1996). A key component to local development is an assessment of the limiting factors and the potential for each community and building human capital, in particular
entrepreneurial and management skills is a central part of virtually all locally based development efforts.

Proponents of this approach argue that net job formation today is largely driven by small businesses and creating small businesses inherently requires attention to local resources and local markets. A consequence of the focus on local development is the increased importance of entrepreneurship by creating economic value through the establishment of new or growth of existing firms. New businesses and self employment contribute jobs, resulting in higher income levels and increased wealth and enhanced markets (Henderson 2006; Fritsch and Mueller 2004).

Goetz (2003) found that in the U.S. the share of non-farm proprietor jobs in all full and part-time rural jobs increased from less than 14 percent in 1969, to 18 percent in 2000, and he argues that if these non-farm proprietorships had not been formed, the population loss from many rural areas over the last few decades would have been even more pronounced. These proprietors cover a vast array of businesses ranging from manufacturing of local crafts to services such as tax consulting or export marketing for farmers.

One of the obvious contributions of entrepreneurship to the increased welfare of society beyond direct income is the multiplier effects induced by additional income. Minniti (1999) argues that entrepreneurs are catalysts for economic growth because they generate a networking externality that promotes the creation of new ideas and new market formations. Another advantage of the local level development approach is that local entrepreneurs have obvious and strong ties to the community, which means that they are less likely to be lured away to other regions. They may reinvest profits locally and they are potentially active philanthropists for local causes (Pages and Poole 2003). Local entrepreneurs are also more likely to establish firms that are compatible with the resources and opportunities for the communities than are outsiders.
One constraint to the development of entrepreneurship is lack of funding. Small businesses generally lack a long track record and are often unknown outside their local communities hence they have been historically turned down by major banks for funding (Sharp et al. 2002). Credit unions may be considered as an alternative solution to this problem. Credit unions are community owned and democratically controlled financial organizations which differ from banks in that they serve their membership and are committed to the development of communities, largely because their future is also tied to the future of these communities (CCA 2007). Credit unions have a long history of providing financial services in communities where other mainstream financial organizations have found it unprofitable to do so. They are also increasingly playing an important role in small business sector and are the second largest lender to small businesses in Canada (CCA 2007; Lou Hammond and Brown 2009).

3.5 Credit Unions and Local Development

Credit unions are by virtue of their structure involved in local community development initiatives. As community based organizations, credit unions can contribute together with other regional or local organizations or individuals to creating a climate favorable for community development. Credit unions generate local financial resources in communities. They offer the same services offered by the conventional banks, but as community organizations their goal is not to maximize profits but to provide services to their members and develop their communities. These community development activities are directly linked to the basic activities that credit unions pursue in their role as financial institutions — receiving deposits, placing loans and investments. In this context, community development means innovative lending of funds to individuals or businesses (Fairbairn et al. 1997).
As part of their business services, credit unions carry out commercial lending and small and medium enterprise lending and they are also in lending partnerships with Business Development Corporations (CCA 2007). Business Development Corporations, recently named Community Development Corporations, are federally funded community development organizations that provide loans and technical assistance to businesses in a region. Each partner provides a portion of the risk of lending to a new enterprise.

In addition to the traditional lending some credit unions in the western provinces also engage in micro-lending in partnership with the Western Economic Diversification Canada (WD), a department of the Government of Canada. WD works in partnership with the provinces, industry associations and communities to stimulate and encourage diversification of the western economy, as well as to represent the interests of the West in national decision-making. According to WD (2009), the micro-loan agreements established with credit unions are doing extremely well. They provided 2,345 loans averaging about $14,000 and totaling $32.2 million. These loans are made to very small and start-up businesses, with insufficient assets and credit history to obtain traditional financing. The program has also had success in helping to develop knowledge/technology-based, conservation and agricultural value-added companies.

Micro-lending program enhances credit access for those small business start-ups that have difficulties in accessing traditional loans mainly because they have no credit history. This program therefore encourages the growth of small and medium enterprises which generate multiplier effects in terms of employment, income and population growth.

In Nova Scotia, the Nova Scotia Department of Economic and Rural Development has a joint initiative program with the Nova Scotia Co-operative Council and Credit Union Central of Nova Scotia, (on behalf of Credit Unions of Nova Scotia) that help businesses obtain financing to
establish new businesses, grow existing businesses and empower entrepreneurs with the support they need to create employment for themselves and others. This program is delivered exclusively through credit unions in Nova Scotia (Nova Scotia Department of Economic and Rural Development 2009).

These examples show the importance of credit unions in small and medium enterprises a sector which is becoming more and more important in the Canadian economy. Credit unions having a larger network of branches in the rural areas than chartered banks might be better placed to promote business growth and rural vitality.

According to Credit Union Central of Canada (CUCC 2008), the greatest strength of credit unions is their local structure, their sense of community and their focus on individual as an integral part of the community. This may place credit unions in a position where both place and people centered approaches are combined and increases their ability to focus on community development. Studies by McArthur et al. (1993) and Fairburn et al. (1997) highlight, that community involvement is a key feature of credit unions and through their work they facilitate and promote capacity building, strength and resilience within the community.

3.6 Conclusion

This chapter reviewed the literature on the history of Canadian rural areas development process. Challenges facing rural areas were discussed. Policies and approaches that have been used to address rural vitality were also reviewed. The last section looked at the perceived role of credit unions in community vitality. Credit unions have been shown to work in partnership and by themselves in promoting entrepreneurship growth.
Chapter 4: Theoretical Framework

4.0 Introduction

This chapter presents the economic framework for our model of population growth which we use as a measure of community vitality. We use the model to include consideration of the role of credit unions. The model developed here, will be used in the subsequent chapters to develop the empirical implementation, and to estimate and analyze the econometric results.

4.1 Theoretical Framework

To investigate the link between credit unions and community economic development, this study adopts the application of a neoclassical local growth model (Rappaport 2004b). This model embeds the spatial general equilibrium framework that underlies compensating differential theory (Roback 1982). The neoclassical local growth model helps us to interpret observed local population growth determinants. It identifies the origin of possible shocks from which an observed pattern of local growth can arise. The model focuses on the transition from one steady state to another (Rappaport 2004b). This model has an advantage of complementing the extensive New Economic Geography literature (NEG).9 NEG is particularly important in indicating how a historical incident can shape economic geography, and how gradual changes in underlying parameters can produce discontinuous change in spatial structure.

The spatial general equilibrium framework recognizes the interdependencies of household and firm location choices as they respond to spatial differences in utility and profits. Individuals are hypothesized to weigh both the pecuniary and non-pecuniary benefits of moving against the alternative of remaining in their current locations. Firms similarly seek those locations that maximize profits. In a growth context, shifts in exogenous factors or changes in the valuation of

---

9 The approach has been applied to the economics of cities, the emergence of regional disparities, and the origins of international inequalities. NEG has also been used to try and answer why is economic activity distributed unevenly across space, with centres of concentrated activity surrounded by ‘peripheral’ regions of lower density?
these factors cause growth differences across regions. As the regions transition from one growth path to another, wages and land rents adjust to move the economy towards spatial equilibrium (Dumais et al. 2002; Rappaport 2004b).

4.1.1 Household Location Decisions

A representative household (worker) located in region $i$ is assumed to derive utility from traded good ($X$) and non traded housing ($H$). By living in the $i^{th}$ region, the worker also consumes some fixed level of household amenities ($A^h$) as well as other exogenous factors ($G$). The amenity and exogenous factors are taken as given by all potential residents. The utility function for the worker is then given by:

$$U (X, H, A^h, G)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.1)

The budget constraint requires that the combined costs of the composite good, available at price $p$, and housing, available at rent $r$, do not exceed the wage income ($w$). Disposable household income is determined by the economic conditions ($econ_i$) which contribute to favorable economic opportunities in the community and the distances ($dist_i$) to the nearest urban centers of various sizes that affect commuting access to urban jobs and urban amenities and services. Access to diverse work opportunities, provided by a large urban, reduces unemployment risk. Disaggregate favorable economic opportunities are represented by variables such as the local employment rate and industry structure. The social factors ($soc_i$) such as crime rates or poverty levels may affect tax rates. The indirect utility function is then given by:

$$V (w, r, p, A^h, G, econ_i, dist_i, soc_i)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.2)

Favorable economic conditions contribute to the probability of access to economic opportunities. These include earning levels, the probability of finding a job and access to a high performing economy. Economic conditions have long been considered a major factor driving
population movement. Within this literature wages, income, industry mix and unemployment rates have been used to proxy employment opportunities and income differentials (Renkov and Hoover 2000).

Distance is an exogenous component that affects access to agglomeration economies and the availability of urban services. Utility maximization location choices include consideration of services and markets such as those related to urbanization including diverse consumption opportunities only available in higher tiered urban areas and a more active social environment (Glaeser 1997).

Amenities can be defined as location-specific, non-traded attributes of a region or local area (Scorsone et al. 2001). Amenities help define the character and quality of life of a local economy. They depend on both a fixed level of natural amenity stocks and fiscal amenities, both of which could be driving forces in the distribution of population. Natural amenities are those goods and services that are part of the landscape, such as climate, topography and water access. Although they are natural to a region, human activities may play a major role in their subsequent quality and quantity through production and consumption. Fiscal amenities differ from natural amenities in that they have an explicit cost (taxes and fees) and are a result of human activity.

Social factors include those variables that make community desirable and safe to live in. Most studies have used measures of social capital to reflect on these social factors. We would expect less out-migration from socially desirable places, hence higher population retention and growth in such communities.

In the short run, workers maximize utility by relocating to communities that provide the highest possible level of utility net the cost of moving. Disequilibrium population flows reveal geographic utility differentials that capture all dimensions of preferences. Long run equilibrium
requires equalization of household utility across regions. Utility differentials may exist in the short to medium run, owing to household relocation costs as well as information constraints, and ongoing shocks (Partridge and Rickman 1996).

4.1.2 Firm Location Decisions

Firms are assumed to be profit maximizers with the following production function for the composite commodity, $X$:

$$X_i = f(LB_i, L_i, N_i, A_f^i, G_i)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.3)

Where $(LB_i)$ is labor, $(L_i)$ is land, $(N_i)$ represents intermediate inputs into production, $(A_f^i)$ is the fixed level of firm amenities and $(G_i)$ are the other exogenous factors of the region. The firm’s objective is to maximize profit subject to input costs used in the production, land rents costs and labor costs. Also constraining the firms is the distance $(dist_i)$ from various sizes of urban centers representing access to inputs and markets. The presence of credit unions represents access to, and cost of business loans. The indirect profit function, $\Pi_i$ is thus given by:

$$\Pi_i (w, r, n, A_f^i, G_i, CU_i, dist_i)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.4)

Where $(w)$ are the wages, $(r)$ is the land rent, $(n)$ are the input costs, $(A_f^i)$ is the fixed level of firm amenity stocks, $(G_i)$ are the other exogenous local factors that may include physical infrastructure and other public goods, $(CU_i)$ represents the presence of credit unions and $(dist_i)$ is the exogenous distance component that affects access to agglomeration economies and access to higher order services and specialized labor pools.

Agglomeration economies affect firm’s profit levels through: a) localization economies; where proximity with other firms within the industry allow the exchange of non-tradable inputs, labor market pooling and information spillovers that enhance workers productivity; and b)
urbanization economies where the size of population enhances access to large markets and public resources.

Proximity to suppliers of intermediate inputs and customers also lowers transport costs and increase the market potential. This in turn creates the home market effects where internal returns to scale in production and greater market potential produce a competitive advantage (Venables 1996). Distance to the nearest urban centre, whether large or small, is associated with access to agglomeration economies for local area’s firms (Hanson 2005). Being in or near a major urban centre is also critical for firms most reliant on higher ordered services and specialized labor pools.

Labor factors like skilled labor pools are associated with higher worker productivity, though they might increase wages. Higher labor force participation rates lower the firm’s labor search costs and enhance skill matching. Other local factors may affect the profit levels of a firm for example, higher levels of public capital in infrastructure lower costs levels for the firms, and presence of natural assets like water which may be used in the production process also help to reduce costs.

Credit unions, which are considered as an exogenous asset in this study, are expected to lower the transaction costs of accessing business loans and advice. Credit unions have a mandate to support communities they operate in. They are better positioned to make use of personal and community information in processing loan applications. In this regard credit unions are able to mitigate against information asymmetry banks face. In addition the business mentorship and follow-ups that credit unions offer to loan beneficiaries’ help lower the risk of loan defaults associated with small and upcoming business start-ups.
The effect of amenities on firms depends on the nature of the amenity in question. The amenity may be unproductive, for example clean air that firms may spend resources on non-polluting technology. In this case, *ceteris paribus*, wages in these areas would need to be lower to equalize costs with other regions. Alternatively if the amenity is productive, for example, lack of severe snow storms firms may be prepared to pay high wages, *ceteris paribus*.

In the short run lower costs (or higher profitability) in region $i$ relative to the national average induce a subsequent net movement of firms into $i$ and corresponding expansions or contractions by existing firms. Long run equilibrium dictates the equalization of regional profit levels.

4.1.3 Equilibrium

The model represented above is a static model. Movements of firms and population occur instantaneously in response to utility or profit differences resulting from exogenous changes. Households and firms compete for a fixed number of sites in each area, with households seeking to maximize utility and firms attempting to maximize profits through locational choices. If the agents face no informational or mobility-related transactions then this model implies that given a fixed distribution of amenities and other exogenous factors, households would prefer higher wages, lower rents and higher amenity areas. Firms would prefer lower cost, lower wage, lower rent areas, while the effect of amenity on firms depends on the nature of the amenity in question.

In the spatial allocation problem, households and firms cannot all occupy the same space even if their preferences are identical. Wages and land rents will vary across locations in order to equilibrate with household utility maximization and firm profit maximization objectives. Hence, in the static equilibrium, areas with favorable household amenities are associated with lower nominal and real wage rates, $^{10}$ higher labor supply and greater demand for housing (higher rents). Favorable amenities compensate household for lower real wages. Areas with favorable

---

$^{10}$ Favourable amenities attract households, increasing labour supply and decreasing wages.
firm conditions have higher nominal wage rates as firms are compensated with other lower production costs.

The long run equilibrium dictates interregional utility and profit maximization, but not necessarily equal nominal or real wages or equal land or housing prices, since they may reflect compensating differentials for amenities (Partridge and Rickman 1997). Small changes in underlying firm and household parameters can take a long time in the adjustment process to equilibrium due to moving costs, costs of obtaining information, and ongoing shocks.

4.2 The General Equilibrium Involving Credit Unions

Taking credit unions as exogenous financial organizations in a community, we would expect their presence to reduce transaction costs for firms and potential entrepreneurs with respect to accessing business loans and advice as well as availability of micro-loans and economic community loans to those business that fall out of traditional lending. Areas with credit unions would then attract more firms, enable more small business start-up by entrepreneurs and expansion of the existing firms. Because firms cannot occupy the same space even if their preferences are the same, communities with more credit unions would be expected to have higher land rents and wages rates. Firms can pay these higher land rents and wages rates because they are compensated by lower transaction costs in accessing business loans and advice.

Figure 4.1 illustrates the respective utility and profit levels resulting in the market clearing conditions for wages and rents at a given level of credit union activity in a community. The downward sloping curves show the isoprofit curves. The upward sloping curve \( V \) represents the isoutility curve for the households.
Figure 4.1: The General Equilibrium Model for Varying Levels of Credit Union Activity

The higher the rent encountered by households, the higher the wages they will have to receive in order to maintain the same level of utility.

Suppose the presence of credit unions enhances firm profits through lowering transaction costs for business loans and business advice where $\Pi < \Pi^*$. Credit union activity increases the profit levels from $\Pi$ to $\Pi^*$, land prices measured by rent increase from $R$ to $R^*$, whilst wages increase from $W$ to $W^*$. Where credit unions reduce transaction costs, firms are now able to pay higher wages and rents as they are compensated by lower transaction costs. Of course, when transactions costs are added to wages and rents, ‘full-cost’ profits (total revenue-(wages + rents + transactions costs)) will be equalized across communities.

4.3 Application of the General Equilibrium Model

The Roback (1982) model can be modified to show labor market disequilibrium that follows from the differences in credit union activities in rural communities. As discussed earlier credit union activities help to promote the development and expansion of businesses through increased access to business loans and advice. Assuming that the credit union presence lowers transaction
costs in community, this might result in the influx of more firms into that community. As more firms choose the community where the credit unions are present, labor demand increases, bringing in more households units. On the other hand, where communities are in long-term decline, the presence of transaction-reducing credit unions may slow the rate of decline. Figure 4.2 illustrates these shifts in labor demand.

![Figure 4.2: General Equilibrium Model Application to Labor Market](image)

The influx of firms and expansion of firms into a community with lower transaction costs shifts the demand for labor from \( D_0 \) to \( D_1 \) and a respective increase in wage levels from \( W_0 \) to \( W_1 \). Higher wages, *ceteris paribus*, will attract more labor into a community to take advantage of these economic opportunities. The respective quantity of labor supplied increase from \( L_0 \) to \( L_1 \). The new higher employment levels in the community would lead to a higher population level for a given age distribution and labor force participation rate. If increased credit union activity contributes significantly to business growth, we would expect population growth in the community through the impact on labor demand and supply.
If a new credit union is established in community $i$, it is posited to create a disequilibrium which might lead to increased labor demand due to influx of firms and expansion of the existing ones. This pushes nominal wage rates up in community $i$, along an upwards sloped short run labor supply curve, $S$. These higher wage rates increase the quantity of labor supplied through increased labor force participation rates and in-migration. Given lags and incomplete migration responses, labor demand shifts may produce higher wages rates, lower unemployment rates and/or increased labor force participation rates for an extended period. In a cross-sectional sense, communities where credit unions lead to lower transaction costs would be expected to support higher levels of employment and population compared to communities without credit unions, *ceteris paribus*.

To empirically implement equations (4.2) and (4.4) the assumption is made that the percentage population change ($\%\Delta \text{pop}_i$) between periods 1996 and 2006 is a reduced form function of $x_{i,96}$, which are the community initial period characteristics: $\%\Delta \text{pop}_{i(06-96)} = f(x_{i,96})$. A residual term is added and it is assumed that $f(.)$ is a linear function $\beta x_{i,96}$, in which $\beta$ represents the regression coefficients for the $x$ variables. The individual $\beta$ terms can be interpreted as the expected percentage change in population when an individual $x$ variable changes by one unit.

**4.4 Summary**

This chapter provided the theoretical framework for the analysis of population dynamics. It has been shown that these population dynamics can be explained by the interdependencies that
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11 The disequilibrium is created by lower transaction costs, which would be expected to support a higher level of business activity, employment and population.

12 The equations 4.2 and 4.4 are simultaneously solved.

13 Cross sectional regressions of population growth on local characteristics has been shown that they help to identify past and present changes in the contributions of local characteristics to representative agent welfare (Rappaport 2004b).
exist between household utility maximizing decisions and firm profit maximizing decisions in the local growth context. A shift in any one of the exogenous factors results in population movements for an extended period of time. Credit unions, to the extent that they reduce transaction costs, may be expected to positively influence population growth and retention.
Chapter 5: Empirical Implementation

5.0 Introduction

This chapter describes the empirical implementation of the theoretical model presented in chapter 4. The econometric models that were used for estimation, data sources and variables used, and their meanings are also presented and explained. *A priori* expected regression signs are indicated based on the economic theory and literature.

5.1 Implementation

The analysis is restricted to the rural and small towns (RST) in Canada. Statistics Canada (2001) defines rural and small town population as all that population that lives outside the main commuting zone of larger urban centers (10,000 people or more). The metric for ‘growth’ of rural communities in this study is the ability of rural communities to retain and attract population through net migration. Net migration is the vehicle by which households respond to economic incentives and access to other non-economic attributes of an area.

Changes in local productivity and/or quality of life in community $i$, are expected to subsequently lead to population flows in response to those changes and that may persist over several years (Rappaport 2004b). The changing spatial distribution of the population is a reflection of people ‘voting with their feet’. Thriving communities are in a better position to retain and attract population, relative to communities that suffer from depopulation.

Cross sectional regressions of population growth on local characteristics can help to identify past and present contributions of such characteristics. Because of data constraints we cannot measure the actions of specific households with respect to their location decisions over a period of time. However, revealed preferences through population change (migration decisions) allow us to examine the influence of the characteristics of the community in experiencing net gains or
losses in population. The assumption is that the average levels of local characteristics constitute a representative agent household’s access to these characteristics (Rappaport 2004b; Partridge et al. 2007).

Population change is measured over the period 1996-2006. The 10 year time period is considered long enough to represent long run population, firm and capital movements and to avoid contamination by short term idiosyncratic changes (especially in rural small communities). The period is also long enough to help ensure that the (1996) initial explanatory variables are predetermined, avoiding direct endogeneity bias in the estimated coefficients where the residual term could be correlated with the initial period control variables. These periods also coincides with 1996 and 2006 censuses.

Census Consolidated Subdivisions (CCSs) are the units of observation in this study. A CCS can be defined as a functional economic area that is used for the provision of services.\(^\text{14}\) A CCS is taken to represent a community and only rural CCSs are considered in this study. Seven Canadian provinces (excluding the Northern territories): Saskatchewan; Nova Scotia; New Brunswick; Alberta; Manitoba; Prince Edward Island; and British Columbia were used in this study.\(^\text{15}\)

5.1.1 Empirical specification

The specification is a cross sectional reduced form model that includes credit union variables, exogenous variables that include natural amenities and distances, and demographic variables

\(^{14}\) The foundation of Canadian statistical units is the CSD, which is usually an incorporated urban or rural town or municipality (Du Plessis et al. 2002). CSDs however do not form functional economic units for example, on the prairies, a town or village form a CSD, while the surrounding rural municipality forms another CSD. Statistics Canada merges the two into a CCS which is more similar to a ‘community’.

\(^{15}\) We had credit union data for these seven provinces and northern territories were excluded because of data limitations on most variables including the credit union data.
while, allowing for economic, human capital and the social factors that influence labor demand and supply.

The dependent variable is the percentage change in population between the initial period (1996) and 2006. The empirical model for CCS, located in province, is therefore given as

\[
\%\Delta \text{Pop}_{ip} (06-96) = \alpha + \beta_1 \text{CUDummy}_{ip} + \beta_2 \text{Geo}_{ip} + \beta_3 \text{Amenity}_{ip,96} + \beta_4 \text{Demog}_{ip,96} + \beta_5 \text{Soc}_{ip,96} + \beta_6 \text{Econ}_{ip,96} + \delta_p + e_{ip(06-96)}
\]  

\[ (3) \]

\text{CUDummy} is the credit union dummy to account for the presence or absence of a credit union in a community. One represents the presence of a credit union while zero represents the absence of a credit union. Geo, Amenity, Demog, Soc and Econ are vectors that represent the geographic location of a CCS (in relation to different urban sizes as measured by distances), amenities, demographic factors, social factors, and economic factors respectively. The regression coefficients are \( \alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5, \beta_6, \) and \( \delta. \) Pro\( v \) is a vector of zero-one provincial dummies to capture the provincial fixed effects to adjust for common factors within a province and \( e \) is the error term. The possibility of autocorrelation was adjusted for by the use of cluster command on census division (CD).\(^{16} \)

Credit unions are assumed to be transaction cost reducing organizations with respect to access to business loans and advice (through mentorship and follow-ups) that enhances firms’ viability. It is the initial period credit union presence that is assumed to affect subsequent growth of a community. Lower costs may be positively related to community firm and business retention and growth. The presence and growth of local firms supports local demand for labor, reflected in wage levels. Availability of business loans as well as mentorship and follow-ups are

\(^{16}\) Statistics Canada defines a Census division as a group of municipalities joined together for the purposes of regional planning and managing common services. CDs are intermediate geographic areas between the provinces or territory level and the municipality (census subdivision) accessed at [http://www12.statcan.ca/english/census06/reference/dictionary/geo008.cfm](http://www12.statcan.ca/english/census06/reference/dictionary/geo008.cfm) on 08-11-09.
also assumed to nurture the growth of local entrepreneurship (and the growth of small business start-ups) as financial barriers are expected to be lowered by these local financial organizations. A positive effect on population change is therefore expected through the labor demand by firms and household migration to capitalize on these opportunities. Most rural communities in Canada are facing long term decline. Thus the expected ‘positive’ relationship would translate into lower rates of decline, perhaps through reducing out-migration.

The Geog vector focuses on how the geographical location of a CCS in relation to urban centers affects its growth. This vector contains several measures of proximity to urban areas of various sizes. The first measure is distance to the nearest urban center of any size. CCSs that are accessible to an urban center could grow faster due to urban sprawl, suburbanization and input-output linkages. Some of the anticipated growth likely results from commuting access. Commuters are comprised of both urbanites avoiding urban congestion and other negative externalities like pollution and crime by choosing to relocate to a rural residence whilst maintaining their jobs in the urban, and rural residents choosing urban employment but still maintaining their rural place of residence.

In addition rural areas near urban centers may be attractive locations to firms seeking lower land rents but still maintaining input-output linkages with the urban center. For households, recreational opportunities in rural areas near urban centers are another reason for possible spatial spillovers of urban centers.

Incremental distances to the nearest medium sized urban center and large sized urban center are also included in the geographic vector to capture how the spatial location of a CCS in the
entire urban hierarchy affects its growth. In the context of central place theory lower tied places depend on higher tied places for access to progressively higher ordered goods and services offered at each tier. The two incremental distance variables included are expected to reflect additional penalties (or benefits) a household or business encounters because they incur costs to access the agglomeration economies represented by progressively higher ordered urban centers (Partridge et al. 2008).

**Demog** vector contains variables that represent the market potential of a CCS. The first variable included is the own population of the CCS (community population) in the initial period (1996). This variable measures the local market potential of a community. On the supply side scale economies can be achieved when increased level of demand is present at a given location (Wensley et al. 1998). The second variable included is the population of the nearest urban center that represents surrounding market potential.

Closely related to these two market potential variables is the measure of entrepreneurship in the CCS. The entrepreneurial process is one where the entrepreneur recognizes and acts on an unexploited opportunity. Opportunity may be related to the presence and size of market potential. Further, population density may contribute to knowledge creating institutions, networks and venture capitalists (Zoltan and Attila 2005). If knowledge spillovers are important in new firm formation and firm growth then we should expect that they should be more easily identified in places where many people are concentrated. Larger markets enhance business start-ups as well as expansion of the existing businesses. Thus, the share of people who are self-

---

17 For the purposes of this study medium sized urban centers are defined as having population size of between 10,000 and 499,000 and large sized urban centers have population greater than 500,000. This also follows from the studies by Partridge et al. 2007.

18 Incremental distances are calculated by subtracting the distance of the nearest urban center from the distance to a respective urban center.
employed, is included in this vector as a proxy measurement for the entrepreneurship spirit in the community.

In sum Geog and Demog variables jointly reflect agglomeration factors including those stressed by the new economic geography. It is expected that growth ‘spreads’ out from the urban center where most of the economic activity is concentrated into the rural fringe (Henry et al. 1997). Communities more proximate to urban centers may be more attractive in terms of economic strength and quality of life. However, it is also possible that urban growth lures people and businesses from the more distant rural periphery, creating a ‘backwash’ effect.

Distance variables are expected to have a negative sign as they impose increased costs of accessing higher tier services and transport costs to larger markets, amenities, as well as commuting access for the households. Knowledge spillovers that might be closely linked to entrepreneurial activities tend to decrease as distance increases from the core of economic activity. Population sizes are expected to have a positive sign as they represent market potential. Greater market potential attracts firms and promotes the entrepreneurship spirit in the CCS. The share of people who are self-employed is expected to have a positive relationship with population growth. Entrepreneurs generate job opportunities, improving the economic attractiveness of the local area.

The Amenity vector reflects natural location advantages including natural topographic and climatic variables. In the United States natural amenities have been shown to exert a major influence on local population growth (Deller et al 2001; Rappaport 2004a). Standard deviation of CCS elevation is included here to represent the degree of variation in terrain. Mountains and hills are hypothesized to be a desirable topographical feature that enhance recreation and provide pleasurable scenery for residents. The share of the total area comprised of water is also included
to capture the effect of landscape. People are posited to prefer a varied landscape for recreation and scenery. Other climatic variables included are annual precipitation (mm), annual snow fall (cm) and mean January temperature (°C). Favorable climatic factors are assumed to make communities attractive to households. Households tend to avoid areas with both extremes in favor of the moderate climatic conditions. We would expect negative signs for snow fall and precipitation. January temperature is expected to have a positive effect on population growth.

The economic vector contains those factors that reflect the economic strength of the community: employment rate; an income measure; industry mix; and industry diversity. The employment rate measures the economic opportunities for households in terms of probability of finding a job. A high initial employment rate will, everything else constant, make a community a more attractive location for households. A positive sign is expected for this variable.

Earnings per hour are included to reflect the income attractiveness of the community to households. A positive sign is expected for this variable. The industry mix is represented by the share of population employed in agriculture, manufacturing and other primary industries. Industry mix is important because some industries (service sector in particular) are growing more rapidly at the national level than the primary sector. Most of the communities that depend on primary industries have been experiencing population loss as more labor has been replaced by capital and the service sector is becoming an increasingly important sector in the global economy. A negative sign is expected on the agriculture and other primary sector employment shares. The sign on the share of manufacturing is ambiguous.

The Herfindahl index coefficient accounts for presence (or lack) of industry diversity. A more diversified economy offers more stable and more diverse employment opportunities; diversity cushions the economy from adverse economic shocks. Lower values of this index
represent industry diversity, while higher values represent industry concentration (lack of diversity). We would expect the index to be inversely related with population growth.

Also included in this vector is a measure of human capital endowment. The share of the population with a university degree is used as a proxy measurement for human capital. A more educated workforce is expected to attract more idea-oriented jobs or businesses (Simon and Nardinelli 2002). Skilled labor likely results in higher productivity levels which are expected to make the community more attractive to firms. However, it may also signal higher wages which repels firms. A positive sign is expected on this variable assuming the positive effects more than offset the potential negative effects.

The social vector contains a set of variables to reflect factors that make a community safe and desirable to live in. Variables included in this vector include the share of population living below the income cutoff, crime rates and the share of population living in own dwellings. Households tend to avoid communities with large shares of population living below the income cutoff as these communities may be linked with social unrest and lower public services access. A negative sign is therefore expected for this variable. The share of population living in own households reflects the commitment of the population to the community by investing in property. A positive sign is therefore expected for this variable. Crime rates are expected to the inversely related to population growth.

$Prov_p$, the provincial dummies are included to control for differences in the historic, legislative, institutional characteristics and policy differences that exist among provinces. When fixed effects are included, the other regression coefficients are interpreted as the average response for within province changes in explanatory variables.
5.1.2 Data Sources

Population data were obtained from the 1996 and 2006 Statistics Canada census of population (CoP) for the 696 rural CCSs in the seven provinces that were part of the study. Economic and some social variables used were from the 1996 constant boundaries available through Canada Rural Economy Research Lab (CRERL) special tabulation. Climatic and environmental variables were adopted from the Environment Canada (EnviroCan) and Natural Resources Canada (NRC) and were geo coded by CRERL. Distance and population of the nearest urban center variables were made available through CRERL. All the data are aggregated to the CCS level, which represents a community for the purpose of this study.

Acquiring credit union data was a challenge for this study. Ideally credit unions would be represented by measures such as branch locations, asset size, loan and deposit size and membership size in 1996 to enable analysis of the subsequent impact of credit unions on communities. Initially letters were sent out the Credit Union Central of Canada (CUCC) and we were referred to the provincial credit union centrals partly because in Canada credit unions are provincially regulated and partly because the kind of information we were asking for fell out of the jurisdiction of the national central.

When we contacted the provincial centrals the only kind of information available was at the aggregate level by credit union. There was no disaggregation to the community or by branch. The main branch of a credit union may be located in one community, while its branches may appear in many other communities. The available data attributed all the credit union characteristics to the community of the main branch hence this data was of little use to this study. Efforts to contact individual credit unions were also fruitless as most credit unions were in the middle of upgrading their banking system and could not be available to participate in the study.
Finally, we resorted to the presence or absence of credit unions in the community to represent credit union activity. Even with this level of data we faced some problems in collecting it from some of the provincial centrals. Two of the centrals referred us to the public websites where we manually recorded the location of credit unions by postal codes. The problem with using websites was they reflected the current locations of credit unions (for the two provinces) not the desired 1996 locations as with the five other provinces. Considering the large number of credit union mergers that have occurred in the last few years this might not be representative of the initial year (1996) measures necessary to avoid direct endogeneity.

Appendix C summarizes a list of variables, description of variables and source of the variables used in this study.

5.1.3 Estimation

We employ a staged estimation process beginning with the most parsimonious cross sectional growth specification model. This staged estimation is used to assess whether potential multicollinearity and endogeneity are affecting the key results. The initial parsimonious model includes only the presence or absence credit union dummy and the variables that are clearly exogenous. The latter are the geographic vector (distance variables), the amenity vector (natural amenity variables) and the provincial fixed effects. The initial stages of estimation ideally avoid any endogeneity concerns because the variables are exogenous. We acknowledge the fact that the credit union dummy for two provinces reflects the 2009 situation. If there has been a change between 1996 and 2006 in this variable, using 2009 violates this assumption.

The second model adds the demographic variables to the parsimonious model to assess the influence of the market potential variables. The subsequent models include social and economic variables in stages. The staged estimation allows for the comparison of the models with more
complete models of rural growth. These estimations will be used to assess robustness of the results to alternative possible causes of population change like the economic conditions or econometric concerns regarding omitted variables (multicollinearity or endogeneity). In this staged estimation the third model will add the social variables to Model 2 and the fourth model (the Full Model) will include all variables.

Robustness will be assessed in one or more of the following ways: 1) use of goodness of fit as represented by adjusted R-squared values; 2) level of significance of the individual explanatory variables as measured by the coefficient t statistic; 3) assessing whether groupings of variables are jointly significant as represented by F statistic; and 4) assessing if the individual variables exhibit the direction of influence on the dependent variable as predicted by theory. A consistent pattern across these four stages of estimation would be strong evidence that the findings are not artifact of a particular specification.

5.1.4 Potential Econometric Problems

In conducting regression analysis several fundamental assumptions are made: 1) the relationship between the independent and the dependent variables is linear; 2) regression variables disturbances are normally distributed; 3) variables are homoscedastic; 4) variables are measured with no error; and 5) there is no exact linear relationship between the independent variables.

When these fundamental assumptions are not valid, the prediction and the estimation model may be biased and the estimation cannot become asymptotically consistent. When residuals are not normally distributed it becomes hard to determine where the level of significance or rejection begins and the estimation of significance become impaired (Gujarati 2004). If observations are not independent, errors can manifest themselves in terms of residual autocorrelation which can
further bias the estimation of significance tests as the error variance becomes artificially compressed by residual correlation, $R^2$; F and t values become inflated too. When variables are heteroscedastic, though ordinary least squares estimation is unbiased and consistent, the standard errors are biased and cannot be used for inference (Greene 2008). Violation of these assumptions therefore can predispose output toward false statistical significance.

Though the use of the initial period (1996) values of the explanatory variables in the model mitigates against potential direct endogeneity, it is possible that there could be an autocorrelated process in which past migration (or shocks) affects both the current residual term and the initial explanatory variable. Such a process could lead to biased estimates since the residual term and the explanatory variable will be correlated. Likewise there could be omitted variables that are correlated with both the initial level of the explanatory variables and the subsequent residual term creating an endogeneity bias. These concerns are assessed by the use of staging estimation that was discussed in section 4.1.3. This process helps to disentangle the causal effects and to assess possible endogeneity. However, controlling for the additional explanatory variables will likely increase problems related to multicollinearity and or endogeneity.

It is likely that there is spatial autocorrelation that exist between adjacent CCSs. To mitigate against this potential problem a cluster command is specified in the estimation. Clustering is done by census division (CD). Each function is thus regressed on its own subset of data with a much smaller residual error. This process produces robust t statistics that adjust for spatial clustering of the error term within a census division. In summary clustering allows for differences in the average level across provinces in addition to adjusting the standard errors taking into account the specific intra group correlation.

---

19 Autocorrelation is highly associated with cross sectional data. It is often referred to as spatial autocorrelation.

20 This type of regression can be called spatial regression.
5.2 Conclusion

The implementation of the empirical model is based on the theoretical model presented in chapter four. Data availability guided the particular variable choice. Potential problems and means to mitigate them were recognized. In the next chapter results of the estimation will be presented and discussed, starting with the descriptive statistics and following will be the econometric analysis.
Chapter 6: Results and discussion

6.0 Introduction

This chapter presents the results of the study. First some descriptive statistics are presented, and then the econometric results. The results are presented with respect to the staged estimation discussed in the previous chapter. Hypothesis test results are also presented and post estimation tests are presented and discussed, along with various sensitivity results.

6.1 Descriptive Statistics

The sample included 696 rural CCSs. Omitted from the sample are CCSs in the northern territories and Yukon, urban CCSs and some CCSs that had missing data on most of the variables. Urban CCSs include all population living in the urban cores, secondary urban cores and urban fringes of census metropolitan areas (CMAs) and census agglomerations (CAs) \(^{21}\) (Statistics Canada 2002). Figure 6.1 shows the distribution of the credit unions in rural Canada included in this study by province.

Four of the provinces: Nova Scotia (NS); Saskatchewan (SK); Alberta (AB); and British Columbia (BC) have credit unions in the majority of their rural CCSs. The respective percentages of the CCSs with credit unions for these provinces are: 68; 70; 70; and 81. Prince Edward Island (PEI), New Brunswick (NB) and Manitoba (MB) have lower concentrations of their rural CCSs with credit unions and their respective percentages are 14, 16 and 2.

---

\(^{21}\) A census metropolitan area (CMA) or a census agglomeration (CA) is formed by one or more adjacent municipalities centred on a large urban area (known as the urban core). The census population count of the urban core is at least 10,000 to form a census agglomeration and at least 100,000 to form a census metropolitan area. To be included in the CMA or CA, other adjacent municipalities must have a high degree of integration with the central urban area, as measured by commuting flows derived from census place of work data.
Figures 6.2 and 6.3 show the distribution of credit unions and their relationship with population growth during 1996-2006 in the Atlantic and Western provinces. Urban CCSs, Northern territories, and the three provinces for which we did not have credit union data were excluded in the maps. There appears to be a positive relationship between the presence of credit unions (as represented by dots) and the population growth. For example, in the Atlantic Provinces majority of the credit unions are located in the CCSs with population growth in the range of -9 to 4 percent and very few credit unions are in the CCSs that have population growth of -25 to -10 percent.

In the Western provinces, there also appears to a positive relationship between credit union presence and population growth in British Columbia and Alberta. Most credit unions are located in the CCSs with population growth of greater than -9 percent. In Saskatchewan however, there appears to be no relationship between population growth and location of credit unions in the CCSs.
Figure 6.2: Credit Union Distribution and Population Growth in the Atlantic Provinces

Source: Canada Rural Economy Research Lab
www.crerl.usask.ca
Figure 6.3: Credit Union Distribution and Population growth in the Western Provinces

Source: Canada Rural Economy Research Lab
www.crerl.usask.ca
Table 6.1 shows the selected descriptive statistics for the study variables, in which most of the reported values refer to the initial year, 1996. The exception is population change which is for 1996-2006. Columns (1) and (3) report the means for CCSs with and without credit unions respectively and (2) and (4) report their standard deviations.

Table 6.1: Descriptive Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>CCSs with Credit Unions</th>
<th>CCSs without Credit Unions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Change</td>
<td>-3.84</td>
<td>14.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to the nearest urban center</td>
<td>86.28</td>
<td>42.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental distance to the nearest medium urban</td>
<td>57.23</td>
<td>62.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental distance to the nearest large urban</td>
<td>287.26</td>
<td>184.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation elevation</td>
<td>79.13</td>
<td>145.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share water (%)</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>6.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snow (cm)</td>
<td>142.18</td>
<td>108.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January temperature (°C)</td>
<td>-12.72</td>
<td>4.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation (mm)</td>
<td>627.98</td>
<td>438.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own CCS (Community) population</td>
<td>4,891</td>
<td>5,991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nearest urban center population</td>
<td>87,106</td>
<td>152,903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of population in self employment</td>
<td>9.31</td>
<td>5.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment rate</td>
<td>64.29</td>
<td>7.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings per hour</td>
<td>10.78</td>
<td>5.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of population employed in agriculture</td>
<td>25.68</td>
<td>18.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of population employed in other primary</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>5.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of population employed in manufacturing</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>5.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herfindel Index coefficient</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of population with university degree</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>2.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of population living below income cut-off</td>
<td>13.94</td>
<td>5.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of population living in own dwellings</td>
<td>70.29</td>
<td>26.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime rate</td>
<td>9,646</td>
<td>2,236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (Sample Size)</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: See Appendix C for variable definitions. Territories and urban CCSs are excluded from the sample.

CCSs without credit unions presence experienced relatively higher population losses between 1996 and 2006 than those CCSs with credit unions. On average CCSs without credit unions lost 0.94 percent more population than CCSs with credit unions. All the distance variables are lower in the CCSs without credit unions compared to those CCSs with credit unions. On average a CCS without credit unions is 13.34 kilometers nearer to the nearest urban centre of any size than a CCS with credit unions. CCSs without credit unions also on average have lower incremental distances to the nearest medium and large urban centers than those CCSs with credit unions with
respective distance differences of 1.36 and 25.44 kilometers. The set of incremental distances to successively higher tier places shows that CCSs with credit unions are more remote from the full urban hierarchy. The average CCS with a credit union is located 431 kilometers from the urban hierarchy, while the average CCS without a credit union is only 391 kilometers removed from the urban hierarchy.

The measures of natural amenities were relatively favorable (in terms of population movements’ literature) in the CCSs with credit unions than the ones without credit unions. On average CCSs with credit unions receive about 40cm less snow and about 170mm less precipitation than the CCSs without credit unions, though the standard deviations are relatively higher for these variables implying greater variability within both the CCSs (with and without credit unions). CCSs with credit unions have on average 0.3 more degrees of January temperature and 0.05 percent more share of water than the CCSs without credit unions. The standard deviations for these two variables are lower implying little variation.

Two of the demographic measures, share of self employed population and own CCS (community) population were higher in the CCSs with credit unions, while population of the nearest urban is higher in those CCSs without credit unions. CCSs with credit unions have on average 1.7 percent more share of population that is self employed than the CCSs without credit unions. On average CCSs with credit unions have more than double the own CCS (community) population compared with those CCSs without credit unions. This is likely a reflection of the fact that the smallest rural communities are not large enough to support any financial organization. The threshold size population for the presence of a credit union would be near 5,000 (Wensley and Stabler 1998). Population of the nearest urban centre for CCSs without credit unions is
13,000 more than that of CCSs with credit unions. The standard errors for the population variables are very high, implying a lot of variability.

The economic measures are relatively conducive for population growth in CCSs with credit unions compared to those without credit unions (in terms of population growth literature), with the exception of share of population employed in agriculture that is relatively higher in CCSs with credit unions. The share of population employed in other primary industries is about 0.6 percent more in the CCSs without credit unions than CCSs with credit unions, while earning per hour are about $2.50 more in the CCSs with credit unions than those CCSs with no credit unions.

All the three social variables are also relatively favorable in the CCSs with credit unions compared with those without credit unions. CCSs with credit unions have about 1.9 percent less population living below the low income cut off compared to CCSs without credit unions and about 9.3 percent more of the population that live in own dwellings compared to those CCSs without credit unions. On average CCSs with credit unions are socially desirable compared to the CCSs without credit unions (in terms of population growth literature).

Based on the descriptive statistics on average CCSs with credit unions are better placed to retain and attract population than the CCSs without credit unions. They fare well for all the natural amenity, social factors and economic variables with the exception of one (share of population in agriculture employment). They also fare well on the demographic variables with the exception of population of the nearest urban center. CCSs without credit unions are better positioned in terms of the distance variable measures from the urban centers of various sizes. Of course, the particular roles and importance of these factors cannot be described from the descriptive statistics alone. A multi-variable regression will reveal the individual influence of each of these factors on population, holding all others constant.
6.2 Regression Results

Table 6.2 presents the results of the models used in the estimation starting with the most parsimonious model followed by the subsequent models that add the demographic factors, the social variables and the economic variables. This staged estimation helps to disentangle the causal effects and address concerns related to multicollinearity and endogeneity. Table 6.3 shows the grouped variables F-statistics and the associated adjusted R-squares.

6.2.1 Parsimonious Model (Model 1)

This model shows the regression results of the most parsimonious specification that expresses population change as a function of clearly exogenous variables (natural amenities and distance variables) and the credit union dummy.

The results indicate a positive impact at 1% significance level of credit union presence on CCS population growth. The presence of credit unions on average results in about 5.2 percent greater CCS population growth (or lower decline). When this is measured at the mean of 4,891 this translates into an average of about 254 more people in a CCS over a ten year period. For communities that are in decline, for example at a rate of 10 percent per year this will reduce the decline rate to only 4.8 percent per year.

There is a strong inverse relationship between CCS population growth and distances to the urban centers of all sizes. The negative and significant distance variables suggest that the farther a CCS is from urban centers, the lower is its population growth. This is consistent with the literature that points to the large and growing influence of agglomeration economies in driving the spatial population distribution.
Table 6.2: 1996-2006 Population Change Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Model 1 (Parsimonious)</th>
<th>Model 2 Add demographic</th>
<th>Model 3 Add social</th>
<th>Model 4 (full model)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Credit union dummy</td>
<td>5.195594 (3.36)***</td>
<td>2.88574 (2.01)***</td>
<td>2.31413 (1.86)**</td>
<td>0.55384 (0.48)***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to the nearest urban centre</td>
<td>-0.080128 (-4.24)***</td>
<td>-0.06700 (-3.87)***</td>
<td>-0.06535 (-3.86)***</td>
<td>-0.05188 (-3.29)***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental distance to the nearest</td>
<td>-0.043636 (-3.88)***</td>
<td>-0.02108 (-1.95)*</td>
<td>-0.02230 (-1.99)**</td>
<td>-0.02388 (-2.12)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>medium urban</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental distance to the nearest</td>
<td>-0.021464 (-3.71)***</td>
<td>-0.01192 (-2.34)**</td>
<td>-0.01267 (-2.4)**</td>
<td>-0.01574 (-2.98)***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large urban</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation elevation</td>
<td>0.022504 (2.15)***</td>
<td>0.02353 (2.63)***</td>
<td>0.02488 (2.55)***</td>
<td>0.01684 (1.93)***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of water (%)</td>
<td>0.044704 (1.15)***</td>
<td>-0.02330 (-0.50)</td>
<td>-0.02804 (-0.52)</td>
<td>-0.06980 (-1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snow (cm)</td>
<td>-0.007176 (-0.97)***</td>
<td>-0.00834 (-1.51)</td>
<td>-0.01003 (-1.71)</td>
<td>-0.01062 (-1.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January temperature (°C)</td>
<td>0.630175 (1.76)***</td>
<td>0.61961 (1.85)*</td>
<td>0.68114 (1.97)**</td>
<td>0.20161 (0.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation (mm)</td>
<td>-0.000754 (-0.27)</td>
<td>-0.00092 (-0.36)</td>
<td>-0.00029 (-0.11)</td>
<td>-0.00188 (-0.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own CCS population</td>
<td>0.00069 (4.67)***</td>
<td>0.00066 (4.39)***</td>
<td>0.00045 (3.31)***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nearest urban population</td>
<td>0.00001 (3.04)***</td>
<td>0.00001 (2.92)***</td>
<td>0.00001 (2.60)***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of population self-employed</td>
<td>0.30809 (2.74)***</td>
<td>0.22830 (2.32)**</td>
<td>0.09152 (1.06)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share living below income cut-off</td>
<td>-0.25336 (-3.45)***</td>
<td>-0.25156 (-4.12)***</td>
<td>-0.25336 (-4.12)***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share living in own dwellings</td>
<td>-0.00304 (-0.21)</td>
<td>0.04153 (2.18)**</td>
<td>0.04153 (2.18)**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime rate</td>
<td>0.00011 (0.41)</td>
<td>0.00001 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.00001 (0.02)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.37255 (4.54)***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings per hour</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.29097 (2.05)***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share employed in agriculture</td>
<td>-0.21147 (-3.68)***</td>
<td>-0.33074 (-4.08)***</td>
<td>-0.33074 (-4.08)***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share employed in other primary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.09222 (-0.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share employed in manufacturing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-15.05685 (-1.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herfindel index coefficient</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.19954 (1.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share with university degree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provinicial dummies</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that the northern territories and urban CCSs are excluded from the sample. Robust t-statistics are reported in parentheses. The t-statistics are adjusted for spatial autocorrelation of the error term within the census division by STATA cluster command; *, **, and *** denotes statistical significance at 10, 5, and 1 percent respectively.
Table 6.3: 1996-2006 Population Change Grouped F-Statistics Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grouped Variables</th>
<th>Model 1 (Parsimonious)</th>
<th>Model 2 Add demographic</th>
<th>Model 3 Add social</th>
<th>Model 4 (full model)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F (Credit Union dummy)</td>
<td>11.29 (p=0.0011)***</td>
<td>4.04 (p=0.0469)**</td>
<td>3.46 (p=0.0656)*</td>
<td>0.23 (p=0.6333)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F (distances)</td>
<td>11.06 (p=0.0000)***</td>
<td>6.07 (p=0.0007)**</td>
<td>6.15 (p=0.0007)**</td>
<td>5.66 (p=0.0012)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F (amenities)</td>
<td>1.66 (p=0.1504)</td>
<td>2.57 (p=0.0305)**</td>
<td>2.59 (p=0.0296)**</td>
<td>2.27 (p=0.0528)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F (demographic)</td>
<td>14.53 (p=0.0000)***</td>
<td>13.26 (p=0.0000)**</td>
<td>7.32 (p=0.0002)**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F (Social variables)</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.07 (p=0.0088)**</td>
<td>11.60 (p=0.0000)**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F (Economic Variables)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.46 (p=0.0005)**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R-squared</td>
<td>0.3021</td>
<td>0.3657</td>
<td>0.4028</td>
<td>0.4722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K (explanatory Variables)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (sample size)</td>
<td>696</td>
<td>696</td>
<td>687</td>
<td>687</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The distance to the nearest urban center coefficient suggest that for every one kilometer increase in distance a CCS is from its nearest urban center (of any size) is associated with 0.08 percent less population growth. When this is measured at the mean distance of 86.28 kilometers it translates into about 6 percent less population growth than if the CCS were adjacent to the urban center.

There are also corresponding population growth losses of about 0.04 and 0.02 percent per each incremental kilometer to reach medium and large urban centers respectively, indicating the importance of proximity to higher tiers in the urban hierarchy. Measured at the mean incremental distances from the entire urban hierarchy, a CCS incurs distance costs of about 15 percent loss in population growth during the 1996-2006 for its remoteness from the combined urban tiers. The mean combined distance is calculated as distance from the nearest urban center plus (where that center has less than 100,000 in population) the distance to the nearest urban center of at least 100,000, plus (where the second center is less than 500,000 in population) the distance to the
centre with at least 500,000 in population. Of course, if the nearest center is more than 500,000 in population then the total distance is simply the distance to the nearest urban center because it is at the top of our urban hierarchy. The 15 percent population change penalty is calculated by multiplying the coefficients of the distance variables by the mean distance of from the urban centers of various ties.

Although, it is notable that there are incremental penalties for a CCS from being farther away from the urban centers up to the large urban center, the largest marginal penalty for remoteness is from the nearest urban center. This may suggest that accessibility to commuting and basic urban services may be of overriding importance. However, results indicate (as shown by the statistical significance of incremental distance variables) that there still may be desirable urban amenities and services in higher tied urban centers such as greater diversity in consumption and employment opportunities and the possibility of developing local input-output with the urban and the global economy.

These results are consistent with the findings of Partridge et al. (2005; 2007; 2008). They found that rural areas can benefit from close proximity to successively higher tied centers, while incurring penalties for increasing remoteness from urban services and amenities. Mueser and Graves (1995) also found that the cost of accessing higher tiered amenities and services outside commuting shed increases with greater distances. An increase in their valuation makes proximate regions more attractive to households, increasing in-migration and population growth, while more remote regions may experience net out-migration and population decline.

Of the 5 natural amenities variables included in the specification, only January temperature and standard deviation elevation, which reflects on the CCS topography, were statistically significant at 10 and 5 percent level respectively. CCS population increases by about 0.6 percent
for each one degree increase in January temperature, while population grows by about 0.02 percent for an increase in one standard deviation elevation. These results are as expected. In Canada January is usually the coldest winter month and households were hypothesized to have preference for variation in terrain as measured by standard deviation elevation that proxies mountains and hills. The other three natural amenities variables (share of water, snow and precipitation), though they had the expected signs, were not statistically significant in explaining CCS population growth.

The lack of significance of the other three amenities and as a group (as indicated by the F statistic) results are not surprising because in Canada population is concentrated along the far southern east-west ‘line’ which might entail lack of climatic variability. Several other variables were considered including the share of forest land, total sun hours and July relative humidity (results not shown) but they were all insignificant and some variables had the unexpected signs. These amenity results counter most of the United States studies that have shown natural amenities to have significant impact on population growth (Rappaport 2004a; Deller et al. 2001).

In this model specification distance variables were jointly significant at 1 percent significance level in explaining CCS population growth, while the amenity variables were jointly insignificant in explaining CCS population growth (as shown by the F statistic near the end of the table). The explanatory power of the model was 30.21 percent.

6.2.2 Model 2

The model 2 adds the demographic variables to the most parsimonious specification. Three demographic added were: own CCS (Community) population; nearest urban center population; and the share of population that is self-employed. These variables are included in this
specification to analyze the effects of local market size, external market size and the entrepreneur spirit in the community.

The credit union dummy remained statistically significant, though now only at 5 percent significance level. Additional presence of credit union in a CCS on average results in 2.9 percent increase in population growth. When this is measured at the mean of 4,891 this translates into an average of about 141 more people in a CCS over a ten year period. For communities that are in decline, for example at a rate of 10 percent per year this will reduce the decline rate to 7.1 percent per year. The distance variables continue to have an inverse relationship with CCS population growth. For example, CCS population growth declines by about 0.06 percent for each kilometer a CCS is farther from the nearest urban center (of any size). Measured at the mean of 86.28 kilometers, this translates into about 5.2 percent population decline between 1996 and 2006, not much below the findings in model 1.

Incrementally, the CCS experience about 0.02 and 0.01 percent population decline for each kilometer farther from the medium and largest urban center respectively and 8.2 percent population loss during 1996-2006 period for remoteness from the combined urban tiers. The distance variables are still jointly statistically significant at 1 percent significance level (as shown by the F statistic), though the marginal costs have now decreased but this is expected as more explanatory variables have been added to the most parsimonious model.

Of the amenities variables, both standard deviation elevation and January temperature remained statistically significant at 1 and 10 percent significance level respectively. As a group amenities were significant at 5 percent level of significance as shown by the F statistic.

All the demographic variables are significant at the 1 percent level and have the expected positive signs. Community population shows the greatest impact on population growth of the
three, with an expected 0.6 percent increase in CCS population for every 1,000 increase in community population. These results indicate that the size of the local market plays a greater role in population growth and retention possibly through increased demand thresholds, which may lead to firm entry, expansions, and start-up businesses.

A positive relationship exists between share of population that is self-employed and population growth. A 0.3 percent increase in CCS population is expected to result from a 1 percent increase in the share of the population that is self-employed. This not surprising because, entrepreneurship is emerging as an important driver of the economy (Minniti 1999; Pages and Poole 2003) through employment opportunities created as well as the economic spillovers that results from entrepreneurship.

A positive relationship exists between the CCS population growth and the population of the nearest urban centre population, reflecting the importance of the market size of the surrounding areas. For every additional 1,000 in nearest urban center population, there is a 0.01 percent increase in rural community population. This may indicate that nearby market size may be an important factor for business start ups, firm growth, and population growth as it represents a ready market.

As a group the demographic variables are jointly significant at 1 percent level (as shown by the F statistic). The explanatory power of the model increased to 36.57 percent with the addition of the demographic variables. Together the results of demographic variables and distance variables show that agglomeration plays a statistically significant role explaining CCS population.
6.2.3 Model 3 and the Full Model

Models 1 and 2 have a number of important control variables omitted in the specifications. Therefore two other model specifications were estimated. First the social variables were added in Model 3, followed by the economic variables in the Full Model to analyze the effect of the social and economic variables on the estimation results. Results of the Model 3 with social variables are shown in the third column of table 6.2. The social variables added were: the share of population living below the income cut off; the share of population living in own-dwellings; and the crime rates.

The credit union dummy was still statistically significant in model 3, but now only at the 10 percent level. When holding all other variables in the model constant, the additional presence of credit union in a CCS is expected to result on average in about 2.3 percent greater population growth in a CCS. When this is measured at the mean of 4,891 this translates into an average of about 112 more people in a CCS over a ten year period. For communities that are in decline, for example at a rate of 10 percent per year, this will reduce the decline rate to 7.7 percent per year. All the distance variables were statistically significant at least at the 5 percent significance level and as a group the distance variables were jointly significant at 5 percent level (as shown by the F statistic).

Of the three social variables, only one variable, the share of population living below the income cut-off was statistically significant at 1 percent level in explaining CCS population growth. The results indicate that a 1 percent increase in the share of population below low income cut-off is expected to result in 0.25 percent decrease in CCS population growth. This result is consistent with expectations as areas with high concentrations of ‘poverty’ (as measured
by the share of people living below the income cut-off) are usually associated with less attractive communities for population growth and retention.

The other two variables, the share of population in own-dwellings and crime rates, were statistically insignificant in explaining CCS population growth. As a group the social variables were jointly significant at 5 percent level in explaining population change in CCS (as shown by F statistic). Addition of social variables increases the explanatory power of the model to 40.28 percent.

The last and Full Model that included all the four groups of the variables results are shown in column 4 of table 6.2. The economic variables included were: the employment rate; earnings per hour; the share of population employed in agriculture; the share of population employed in the other primary industries; the share of population employed in manufacturing; the herfindel index coefficient; and the share of population with a university degree. This fully specified model is complete in the sense that it represents as fully as the data allow on all the factors that are expected to influence rural community population change.

Though the explanatory power of the model (measured by the adjusted R-squared) increased to 47.22 percent, which is relatively high for cross-sectional data, it resulted in the credit union dummy, the share of population that is self-employed, and January temperatures losing their statistical significance, while the share of population in own-dwellings gained significance at 5 percent.

The direct inference from these results is that once all the other variables affecting rural community population growth have been controlled for, there is no statistically significant influence of the presence of a credit union.
Though, an attempt was been made to lag the independent variables to avoid potential endogeneity problems with the dependent variable, it is possible that even if all the independent variables were for the initial year (1996), they might be relationships between independent variables that might have caused this loss of statistical significance. However, this problem is less likely to have had a greater impact. Before estimation was done simple correlation were conducted and all the variables used had low coefficients.

Credit unions might have an impact on CCS population growth but the dummy variable representing the presence of credit union variable might not have been sufficient to measure the impact of credit unions. Other measures of credit union activity, like the membership size, asset size or the size of loans and deposits could be the better indicators as the measures of credit unions impact, as they are continuous and represent the amount of credit union activity.

It might also be possible that the credit union data that was not lagged for the two provinces might have caused simultaneity problems that might have led to the credit union dummy to lose its statistical significance. Again this is highly unlikely because the credit union dummy was statistically significant in the other three models.

Of the seven economic variables, five were statistically significant, while the other two (the share of population with a university degree and the share of people employed in the manufacturing sector) were statistically insignificant in explaining population growth in the CCS. A 1 percent increase in the employment rate is expected to results in about 0.4 percent increase in CCS population. A one dollar increase in the earnings per hour (of the 1995 dollars) is expected to result in about 0.3 percent increase in the population growth.

The share of the population employed in agriculture and in the other primary industries had the expected negative effect on CCS population growth. Both were statistically significant at 1
percent level. A 1 percent increase in share of people employed in agriculture and the other primary industries is expected to result in about 0.2 and 0.3 percent decrease in CCS population growth respectively. This is consistent with literature because these two industries are growing slowly (in terms of jobs) nationally because of the long term and continuing labor saving technology.

The herfindel index coefficient was statistically significant at 10 percent level and had the expected inverse relationship with population growth. This index reflects industry concentration such that the higher the index, the lower the industry diversification in a community. Lack of diversification limits job opportunities for the residents thus dampening growth.

Though the share of the population with a university degree was statistically insignificant, it had the expected positive sign. The insignificance is not surprising as education levels are positively correlated with most of the economic variables (the industry structure, employment rates, and income measures). The influence of education has thus probably been captured in some of these variables. The share of population employed in manufacturing was inversely related to CCS population growth and again this is not surprising because the service and knowledge based sectors are emerging as the drivers of national economy and becoming more important in the global economy. Routine manufacturing in rural areas is no longer an economic driver. As a group the economic variables were jointly significant at 1 percent level (as shown by the F statistic).

All the distance variables remained statistically significant with the addition of the economic variables. A one kilometer farther from the nearest urban center is expected to result in 0.05 percent decline in CCS population and measured at the mean distance of 86.28 kilometers this translates into 4.3 percent CCS population decline. Incrementally the CCS experience about 0.02
and 0.0015 percent population decline for every kilometer farther away from the nearest medium and large urban center respectively. At the mean distances this translates into 5.9 percent population loss during 1996-2006 for remoteness from the combined urban tiers. The distance results are consistent with the earlier results that show that the largest marginal penalty continues to be for remoteness from the nearest urban center (of any size). The consistent results for the distance variables across all the four models imply that distances are important in explaining population change in CCS.

Also consistent across the models are the results of the two demographic measures, community population and nearest urban center population which remained positively related to the CCS population growth as expected. They were both statistically significant at 1 percent level. These results indicate that distance and market variables as measures of agglomeration economies are important in explaining rural community population growth.

The joint significance of the variable groups (as shown by the F statistic) was at least at 5 percent level indicating that as groups all variables were significant in explaining CCS population growth. The progressive increases of adjusted R-squared with the addition of control variables indicate that control variables inclusion improve the model specification from the most parsimonious model. The main finding though is that with the inclusion of economic variables, the credit union dummy lost its statistical significance in explaining CCS population growth.

6.3 Post Estimation Results

The quantitative analysis and the discussion above provided four ways to assess the robustness of the four models. These were: 1) use of goodness of fit as represented by adjusted R-squared values; 2) the level of significance of the individual explanatory variables as measured by the coefficient t statistic; 3) assessing whether groupings of variables are jointly significant as
represented by F-statistic; and 4) assessing if the individual variables exhibit the direction of influence on the dependent variable as predicted by theory. However, there may be some underlying problems that could have affected the results. To address these concerns two post estimation tests were conducted on the Full Model to analyze if it is possible that multicollinearity or model misspecification might have affected the results.

These two tests were the Ramsey Ovtest and the variance inflation factor (VIF) indicator. Ovtest can be used to test for specification error of the model in two ways: a) using the powers of the fitted values (to indicate whether the residuals will have stronger dependency between themselves); and b) using the powers of independent variables (to indicate whether the residuals have stronger dependency with the explanatory variables). In both cases the null hypothesis is that the model has no omitted variables.

Specification error likely results from: 1) the omission of relevant variables or inclusion of irrelevant variables; 2) misspecification of the estimation model. If P< 0.05 this means that the model likely suffers from specification error. The tests for model specification error using both methods produced the following results:

a) Ramsey Ovtest: using the powers of fitted values of population changes.  
Prob > F  = 0.2611

a) Ramsey Ovtest: using the powers of independent variables.  
Prob > F  = 0.3219

In both cases the tests results indicate P > 0.05 implying that there is no evidence of misspecification in our model.

The VIF show by how much the variance of a single β goes up due to the correlation across explanatory variables. As a rule of thumb, a variable whose VIF values are greater than 10 may
imply collinearity. Tolerance, defined as 1/VIF, is also used to check on the degree of collinearity. A tolerance value lower than 0.1, is comparable to a VIF of 10. It means that the variable could be considered as a linear combination of other independent variables. Table 6.4 below show the respective VIF and tolerance of the selected variables that were suspected to have collinearity problems.

Table 6.4: VIF and Tolerance for Selected Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>VIF</th>
<th>1/VIF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Share of self employed population</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.608947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment rate</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>0.418069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings per hour</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>0.264979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share population employed in agriculture</td>
<td>8.88</td>
<td>0.112595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share population employed in other primary</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.527019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share population employed in manufacturing</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>0.49157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share population with university degree</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.726322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit union dummy</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.493211</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The VIF and tolerance indicators did not reveal any potential collinearity for the variables used.

6.4 Other Sensitivity Checks

Four other sensitivity estimations were considered to check the robustness of the results: 1) inclusion of interaction dummies; 2) regional regressions; 3) sub-sample regressions; and 4) excluding insignificant variables.

Interaction dummies were included because in some cases the regressors may not be correlated but jointly affect the dependent variable (Fox 1997). However, with this type of regression multicollinearity is more likely to be a problem because interaction terms tend to be highly correlated with the dummy variable, as the interaction term is a product of a regressor and the dummy variable.

To avoid the potential problem of multicollinearity the specifications with the interaction terms were estimated with each of the economic variables and the credit union dummy one at a
time. In all these specifications the interaction term turned out statistically insignificant at the 10 percent level (results not shown) indicating the Full Model results are robust.

Regional regressions were conducted to analyze if different economic regions in Canada might provide different population growth results and possibly different impact of credit unions on communities. Regional models were estimated for three groups: the British Columbia; the prairies (Saskatchewan, Alberta and Manitoba); and Atlantic Canada (Prince Edward Island, Nova Scotia and New Brunswick). The results for each region were the same as for the Full Model—the credit union dummy became insignificant once the economic variables were added.

Models were also estimated for two sub-samples: provinces with higher level of credit union presence (Nova Scotia, Saskatchewan, Alberta and British Columbia) and provinces with lower credit union presence (Prince Edward Island, Manitoba and New Brunswick). For both these subsamples the overall results were consistent with the Full Model (results not shown). The only difference was that credit union dummy was insignificant for all the estimations beginning from the most parsimonious model in provinces with lower credit union activity.

The last robustness check dropped all the variables in model that were insignificant at 10 percent significance level to test the tradeoff between multicollinearity and omitted variables but the credit union dummy still remained insignificant indicating the Full Model results are robust.

6.5 Hypothesis Testing

Taking into account the sensitivity checks, robust checks, and the post estimation results, the Full Model is accepted as the best specification for the study and the one used to test the hypothesis of the study.
The null hypothesis for the study is:

\[ H_0: \text{There is no difference in population growth outcomes between the communities where credit unions are present and those with no credit unions.} \]

The significance level adopted for the study is 10 percent. At this significance level the null hypothesis is rejected if the critical value is greater than 1.645 and the probability (P< 0.1). Our t- statistic was 0.48 and the p value was 0.6333. Given these critical values and our rejection criteria we failed to reject the null hypothesis.

The implication is that if there are credit union impacts in rural communities, they are not discernible with the existing data. More complete and descriptive quantitative data might have produced different results.

6.6 Conclusions

This chapter presented the results of the econometric estimations. The estimation and the presentation were done in stages to assess the potential problems of endogeneity and collinearity. The estimation results, post estimation results and the other sensitivity checks have indicated that the Full Model with economic variables is the best fit for the problem estimating the determinants of population change. The credit union dummy was not found to be statistically significant in explaining the impact of credit unions on community population growth. We failed to reject the null hypothesis of the study that stated that there is no difference in population growth outcomes between the communities where credit unions are present and those with no credit unions.
Chapter 7: Conclusions

7.0 Introduction

This study set out to identify the impact of credit unions on rural community vitality as measured by population growth. A preliminary qualitative inquiry was conducted to gain a better understanding of the activities of a credit union and a chartered bank. The economic impact of credit unions was examined using spatial regressions analysis that modeled credit unions as potentially reducing transactions costs for local businesses thus contributing to population growth and retention. This chapter provides a summary of the research findings and implications that can be drawn from the study. A discussion of the limitations of the study and recommendations for further study are also highlighted.

7.1 Summary of Study Findings and Implications

7.1.1 Qualitative inquiry

The qualitative information was collected through semi-structured interviews with managers of a credit union and a chartered bank in Saskatchewan. The interview results indicated that most of their business operations and practices are the same, and neither organization makes a specific distinction between rural and urban clients. Significant differences, however, were noted in their lending portfolios, small business support and community investments. The credit union, in addition to the traditional lending, participates in non-traditional lending that takes personal and community information into account during the processing of loans. In addition to this the credit union provides mentorship and follow-ups to loan beneficiaries.

Two activities fall under this non-traditional lending: a) micro-lending; and b) community economic development lending. Micro-lending is extended to small businesses that fail to qualify for traditional lending because they are considered to be too high risk, either due to lack of
experience or a nonexistent or inadequate credit rating. The credit union partners with Western Economic Diversification (WD), in delivering micro-lending program. WD provides the credit union a loan loss provision on all the micro-lending. The low rate of defaults on micro-loans is attributed to the mentorship and follow-ups that the credit union staff contribute to these businesses.

Community economic development loan programs consider mortgage financing, lines of credit and financing for various types of projects that have a solid community support and a good business track record. In most cases these projects do not meet the funding criteria under the traditional lending. Because community economic development loans have a strong community orientation, community committees are usually involved to provide personal and community input into the lending process. Though these committees do not make the final decision on loan approval, they provide valuable local knowledge that will be considered in the internal loan decision making of the credit union.

The impact (if any) of credit unions, as distinct from chartered banks, on communities is therefore likely to be through these non-traditional lending activities or their mentorship and support of borrowers. Credit unions might help to promote small business growth through reducing transactions costs, and thus enhancing entrepreneurial spirit in communities by making micro-loans and community development loans accessible and providing assistance through offering information and training. By making use of personal and community information in loan approvals, the credit unions may be addressing market failure in the small business start-up loans that results from information asymmetry.
7.1.2 Regression results

The quantitative analysis sought evidence of this influence of credit unions through the use of an econometric analysis of the role of credit unions on community population change, our metric for community economic vitality.

The staged estimation models used in the regressions showed that the presence of the credit union dummy was statistically significant and positive in the most parsimonious models including only natural amenities, agglomeration measures and other social measures as explanatory variables. The presence of a credit union, holding these factors constant results in net population growth (or reduction in population decline). However, when the fully specified model was estimated, including the set of economic variables, the credit union dummy lost its significance.

Taking into account the sensitivity checks, robust checks, and the post estimation results, the Full Model was accepted as the best specification for the study and the one used to test the null hypothesis of the study. There was no evidence that the presence of a credit union had a statistically significant impact on population growth outcomes in rural communities, holding all else constant.

Other regression results confirmed earlier research findings on the importance of agglomeration economies as measured by the distance and market variables in rural population growth (Partridge et al. 2007; 2008). Rural areas benefit from being in close proximity to urban centers that provide higher-ordered services and amenities. These findings are consistent with the New Economic Geography, where proximity to suppliers and customers lowers transport costs and scale economies may exist in producing non-traded intermediate inputs, thus reducing relative profitability of producing in the rural areas. Also consistent with earlier research findings
was the importance of economic variables in determining rural population growth and the smaller significant role played by natural amenities in explaining population growth in Canadian rural communities.

The most likely explanation for the lack of significance of the credit union dummy is that the credit union dummy might have been an inadequate representation of credit union activity to capture the economic impact of credit union activity on communities. Data limitations dictated the presence/absence dummy, and for some provinces only in the current year. More detailed quantitative measures such as size indicators including the size of the lending portfolio or the total assets would have been more informative. Further, the theoretical model shows initial period credit union activity affecting subsequent population growth, requiring lagged credit union information. More complete quantitative measures could have produced different results.

It might also be that, in spite of the a priori expectations regarding the impact of credit unions, their impact was not strong enough to be captured by econometric estimations. Various factors impact the ability of credit unions to participate in non-traditional lending, thus leading to credit unions losing their potential ability to contribute to community population growth. These factors may include: a) the regulatory framework; b) the cost limitation; and c) effect of credit union mergers and changes in the global economy. In addition there may be principal-agent problems.

7.1.2.1 Regulatory Framework

Credit union deposits are guaranteed 100 percent in most of the provinces. For example, in Saskatchewan, the Credit Union Deposit Guarantee Corporation (CUDGC) guarantees all deposits with Saskatchewan credit unions. To minimize the credit unions exposure, the CUDGC,
as the regulator, has put in place various preventive measures and regulations to minimize their risks. This guarantee requirement may be restrictive in terms of their lending activities.

There is also the specific regulation that limits the non-traditional lending activities of a credit union to only one percent of its book value because non-traditional lending is considered risky. This regulation therefore implies that the participation of a credit union in non-traditional lending is very limited and restricted by its size. Large credit unions have greater capacity, while the smaller credit unions in small rural communities would have very limited funds even if they may wish to participate more in non-traditional lending. However, even for large credit unions one percent is a very minor part of the lending portfolio and perhaps unlikely to have much of an impact on rural communities.

7.1.2.2 The Cost Limitation

Though non-traditional lending is considered to be risky, the credit union that we interviewed was particularly successful with this type of lending, with a default rate of about 2.5 percent. Key to this success is the amount of mentorship that the staff put into the program. The credit union implements mentorship and follow-ups to the beneficiaries of non-traditional loans. This helps to monitor loan use and thus minimizing loan defaults. For a credit union that has fewer staff or less experienced staff, it may be too costly to conduct non-traditional lending.

Recent credit union mergers have resulted in an increase in credit union assets and capacity. The absolute value of non-traditional lending might then have increased, at least for credit unions that participate in non-traditional lending. Larger credit unions may have a greater capacity for non-traditional lending in terms of mentorship and assistance to borrowers as well. However, these mergers may introduce new set of problems to the operations of credit unions.
7.1.2.3 Effect of Credit Union Mergers and Globalization

One of the reasons why credit unions have been championed as having potential in promoting rural community growth is that they are democratically controlled and that they are owned by the people who use their services. Members are able to directly internalize benefits that arise from the operation of credit unions and as such members have the power and motivation to control the direction of the credit union to maximize their net benefits.

However, with increasing globalization and mergers, credit unions are developing more ‘bank-like’ characteristics. The increase in size and complexity requires specialised professionals and allows for less democratic control by the members. This indicates a move away from the participatory movement in the history of credit unions to a much more ‘professionalized’ system that allows for a more ‘efficient’ and ‘businesslike’ running of the credit union.

The implications of this are that credit unions may become less of a social enterprise differentiated from the mainstream financial economy, and more of a novel competitor in the financial services industry. As credit unions progress in growth of assets and membership, as well as an increase in professionalization and standardization with other financial service providers, they may experience goal displacement as they are lured away from their activist base into institutional growth. Thus, as credit unions are drawing further and away from localized and democratic roots, their potential to actively participate in community development might have to be realized through new avenues.

7.1.2.4 Potential Principal-Agent Problem

Democratic control of credit unions allows members to elect the board of directors from their membership, to run the credit union on their behalf. To fulfil their functions, the credit union board’s main role should be to formulate and develop a strategy for the delivery of its core
philosophy on behalf of its members. The board of directors then hires managers, who carry out the day-to-day operations of the credit union.

As managers are in a position of having greater knowledge of credit union’s operation, this can put them in a position of power and this could lead into principal-agent problem where the manager may have different objectives and goals than the Board. A principal-agent problem occurs when an agent (in this case a manager) and the principal (the credit union board) have different objectives and goals and there is asymmetric information such that an agent uses information at his/her disposal, and the board’s reliance on management to take advantage of the situation and pursue their own objectives (Fulton and Larson 2009; Eisenhardt 1989).

With the increase in credit union size through mergers, it becomes increasingly difficult to monitor management actions. Credit union members and the board are removed from the day-to-day activities of a credit union and where the principal and agent objectives differ, the agent typically has more information, and opportunities exist for the agent to behave in a manner not in the principal's interests. Thus in some cases, even if the members and the board are interested in promoting community goals, managers may not work towards the attainment of these goals, thus, reducing credit union’s impact on community growth activities.

In order for the wishes of the credit union members to be evident in the operations of the credit union there must be clear linkages between their wishes and the manager's activities. There is a long causal chain between the objectives of the members and the activities of the manager. The members elect a board which may or may not fully represent their wishes. Then the board hires a manager who may not fully understand the wishes of the board, or understand but have his/her own agenda. If communication or information flow breaks down at any of these stages,
the members' community economic development intentions may not be realized through the operations of the credit union.

Nevertheless, expanding non-traditional lending could have an impact on many communities by making credit available to entrepreneurs that cannot access finance from the mainstream financial organizations. Credit unions might need to look into ways of expanding these forms of non-traditional lending. Credit unions may consider ‘peer-to-peer’ lending that some credit unions in the U.S. are implementing. With this program credit unions may put business proposals of their members on their public websites and fellow members make financial contributions towards these businesses. Though peer-to-peer lending has been successful in the U.S., its success in Canada will depend on how the program is adapted to fit into the Canadian culture. For example, if there is more sensitivity to privacy issues, a modified form may be required to protect the privacy of the individuals, perhaps through some assurance of anonymity.

7.2 Limitations of the Study

The study had several limitations in investigating the economic impact of credit unions on rural communities. Data on the credit unions was limited to only the credit union dummy which, only reflects on the presence or absence of credit union in the community. The credit union dummy might not be a sufficient indicator of credit union activity in the community. Further lagged credit union activity was hypothesized as influencing subsequent population growth and we were not able to obtain lagged data for two provinces.

The presence of a credit union does not necessarily guarantee economic participation of the credit unions in communities. It is possible that some credit unions are small and they only provide basic financial services to the community. Measuring the economic impact of credit union using a credit union dummy could be misleading in such cases. Other quantitative
measures of credit union activity like asset size, credit union membership and the size of loans and deposits might more completely capture the impact of credit unions.

It is also possible that while the credit union with which we had an interview is actively involved in non-traditional lending, others might not be and framing the theoretical framework and implementation of spatial regressions in this way might have been too optimistic in capturing credit unions economic impact on community population growth. A more detailed investigation of the mechanisms by which credit unions influence community economic development might have improved our model specification.

7.3 Areas of Further Study

The study focussed on population change as a measure of credit union impact on community. While population change is the ultimate indication of community economic health and vitality, there may be other, more subtle credit union economic impacts on communities worthy of study. For example, in future it might be useful to use entrepreneurial or other business or firm growth measures as the dependent variable in measuring the impact of credit unions.

Replicating this study in future with the inclusion of more credit union measures like asset size, membership size or the size of loans and deposits to measure the impact of credit unions, would provide a more complete test of the impact of credit unions.

Other avenues of future research would be the use of data from more interviews with chartered banks and credit unions. These would help in better understanding the operations of chartered banks and credit unions in a variety of settings.
References


Freshwater, D. and E. Scorsone. 2002. *The Search for Effective Rural Policy: An Endless Quest or an Achievable Goal?* University of Kentucky College of Agriculture. Agricultural Economics staff papers No 467: Lexington KY.


Gabe, T. 2007. Special Issue on Opportunities and Challenges Facing the Rural Creative Economy. *Agriculture and resource economics review* 36(1).


Appendix A: Semi-structured Interview Guide

A. This section asks about the broad goals, values and policies of your organization towards involvement in rural areas.
1. Can you describe your perception of the role of your organization in the community? You may distinguish between rural and urban communities if you think this is relevant.

2. Do you see farmers, agricultural businesses, rural business, consumer and nonprofit clients as all part of your rural base? Do you have a special interest in any of these types of rural clients?

B. This section is about the potential differences in lending in rural and urban areas.
3. Do you have a specific 'small businesses' category of lending? Would the definition of 'small' be different in rural and urban parts of the province? How does this relate to non-traditional lending such as micro-credit or other means of dealing with clients that do not qualify for traditional loans?

4. Describe the differences in the process of lending to rural clients versus urban clients. That is, would some loan applications from small rural branches need to be approved in larger branches?

C. This section looks at differences between rural and urban clients.
5. What would you say are the average rates of loan application denial? Are there differences between rural and urban clients? Are there differences among types of rural clients?

6. Would there be differences in loan default rates between urban and rural clients?

D. This section is about whether there is a relationship between where deposits come from and where they are used for loans and investments.
7. Are deposits by rural members/clients any more or less likely to be used for lending in rural areas than for lending anywhere else?

8. For either an urban or rural branch, is there any reason why the lending would be local (or nearby) rather than national or global?

E. Other Means of Rural Community Support
9. Other than your main deposit and lending activity, are there other means of supporting (rural) communities?

10. Is there anything else you would like to tell us about rural community support?
Appendix B: Interview Consent Form

You are invited to participate in a study entitled: *The role of credit unions in rural communities*. Please read this form carefully, and feel free to ask questions you might have.

**Researcher:** Fortunate Mavenga, MSc. Candidate  
Department of Bioresource Policy, Business and Economics  
University of Saskatchewan  
Tel: (306) 966-2041

**Purpose and procedure:** I would like to receive your responses and comments on some questions about the role of your organization in rural areas. You have been selected because you are involved in the day-to-day decisions for the financial institution where you are employed and you have sound knowledge or rich experience of the organization. This study is coordinated by the Department of Bioresource Policy, Business and Economics (Prof. Olfert), University of Saskatchewan. The results of this study will form a key part of Ms. Fortunate Mavenga’s MSc. thesis in Bioresource Policy, Business and Economics. The study is part of a project funded by the Social Science and Humanities Research Council of Canada (SSHRC).

The purpose of this study is to examine how credit unions may affect the success of rural areas. Specifically, it has been argued that rural small businesses do not thrive because they lack access to business loans from financial institutions. Divergent goals between communities and financial institutions can make rural vitality difficult, while community residents make savings, financial institutions look for markets that generate high returns wherever they are. This study aims to examine if these community based financial institutions have had an impact on rural communities and to what extent their interests and support are consistent with rural growth.

Your participation in this study is highly appreciated and completely voluntary. It is expected that the interview should last between 30-60 minutes. During this process you may withdraw at any time without penalty if you feel uncomfortable or at risk. All interviews will be audio taped.
and you have the right to shut off the tape recorder at any time if you choose. You should feel free to decline to answer any particular question(s). If you withdraw from the study, no data pertaining to your participation will be retained.

**Potential benefits:** Your participation will help document the role of financial institutions in rural growth and the mechanisms that have been used to reconcile the interests of the financial institution and the rural community. Thus, findings from this research may help policy makers and other financial institutions (banks and credit unions) in Canada and around the world to improve performance of rural economies in the increasingly competitive environment. For credit unions and banks that remain in sparsely populated rural areas, improved performance will ensure that people in rural areas have access to a wide range of services and economic opportunities. It is possible that you may receive no personal gains from participating in this study.

**Potential risks:** Ms. Mavenga will make every effort to protect the confidentiality of your comments (see below). You should, however, be aware that controversial remarks, in the unlikely event they are associated with you, could have negative consequences for your relationships with others in your organization and the other financial organizations. Ms. Mavenga will try to protect your identity in the ways described below. If for some reason Ms. Mavenga wishes to quote your comments in some way that might reveal your identity, she will seek your permission beforehand.

**Storage of Data:** The transcript and original audio recording of the interview will be securely stored by the Supervisor (Prof Olfert) at the Department of Bioresource Policy, Business and Economics for a period of at least five years. Anonymous data will be aggregated with data gathered from other portions of this research.
**Confidentiality:** Your interview will be recorded and then transcribed by Ms. Mavenga. After your interview, and prior to the data being included in the final report, you will be given the opportunity to review the transcript of your interview, and to add, alter, or delete information from the transcript as you see fit. Only the Researcher and Advisor will have access to the original audio recording and the transcript.

The research conclusions will be published in a variety of formats, both print and electronic. These materials may be further used for purposes of conference presentations or publication in academic journals, books or popular press. In these publications, the data will be reported in a manner that protects confidentiality and the anonymity of participants. Participants will be identified without names being used, giving minimal information (for instance, what organization you are affiliated with). Pseudonyms or composite profiles may be used to disguise identity further, if necessary. In principle, actual names will not be used; however, participants whose position involves speaking on behalf of the organization may be asked if certain comments they have made can be attributed to them by name in publications. Any communication of these results that has clear potential to compromise your public anonymity will not proceed without your approval.

**Right to Withdraw:** Your participation is voluntary, and you can answer only those questions that you are comfortable with. There is no guarantee that you will personally benefit from your involvement. The information that is shared will be held in strict confidence and discussed only with the research team. You may withdraw from the study for any reason, at any time, without penalty of any sort. If you choose to withdraw from the study at any time, any data that you have contributed will be destroyed at your request. You will be informed of any major changes that occur in the circumstances of this study or in the purpose and design of the research that may
have a bearing on your decision to remain as a participant. If, after the interview, you think of something that you would like to change or delete, or you would like to withdraw your interview response from the research, you may contact the Researcher at the number provided to request the change or withdrawal.

**Questions:** If you have any questions concerning the study, please feel free to contact the Researcher at the number provided.

This study was approved on ethical grounds by the University of Saskatchewan’s Behavioural Research Ethics Board on __________. Any questions regarding your rights as a participant may be addressed to that committee through the Ethics Office. This office can be contacted by e-mail at ethics.office@usask.ca or by phone at (306) 966-2084.

*Consent to Participate: I have read and understood the description provided; I have had an opportunity to ask questions and my questions have been answered. I consent to participate in the study, understanding that I may withdraw my consent at any time. A copy of this Interview Consent Form has been given to me for my records.*

__________________________   _________________________ 
(Name of Participant)         (Date)  

__________________________   _________________________ 
(Signature of Participant)        (Signature of Researcher)
## Appendix C: Variable Definitions and Data Sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POP_CHANGE</td>
<td>Dependent variable: Percentage change in population between 1996 and 2006.</td>
<td>1996 and 2006 CoP (calculations by author)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU DUMMY</td>
<td>Credit union dummy, 1 if a CCS has at least a credit union branch and 0 if a CCS has no credit union branch.</td>
<td>Provincial Credit union centrals, websites, CRERL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNUC</td>
<td>Distance between CCS centroid and centroid of closest nearest urban of any size.</td>
<td>CRERL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDNMUC</td>
<td>Computed as distance between CCS centroid of the nearest medium urban center minus distance between CCS centroid and centroid of its nearest urban.</td>
<td>CRERL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDNLUC</td>
<td>Computed as distance between CCS centroid of the nearest large urban center minus distance between CCS centroid and centroid of its nearest urban.</td>
<td>CRERL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STD_DEV_ELEV</td>
<td>The standard deviation of CCS elevation as a proxy for hills and mountains.</td>
<td>NRC, CRERL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHARE_WATER</td>
<td>Percentage of land covered by water.</td>
<td>NRC, CRERL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNOW</td>
<td>Average annual snowfall (cm)</td>
<td>EnviroCan, CRERL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAN_TEMP</td>
<td>Average January temperature (degrees Celsius)</td>
<td>EnviroCan, CRERL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRECIP</td>
<td>Average annual precipitation (mm)</td>
<td>EnviroCan, CRERL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OWN_POP</td>
<td>Own CCS non institutional population in 1996</td>
<td>1996 CoP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUCP</td>
<td>Nearest urban center population in 1996</td>
<td>CRERL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

22 CoP-Statistics Canada census of population.
23 CRERL- Canada Rural Economy Research Lab.
24 NRC-Natural Resources Canada.
30 Environment Canada.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SHARE_SELF_EMP</td>
<td>Percentage of population 25-54 years with some non-farm self employment.</td>
<td>1996 CoP (calculations by author)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Economic variables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMPLOY_RATE</td>
<td>1996 employment rate for individuals aged 15+.</td>
<td>1996 CoP(Calculations by author)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAR_HR</td>
<td>Average 1996 earnings per hour for population 25-54 years (in 1995 constant dollars)</td>
<td>1996 CoP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHARE_AGRIC</td>
<td>The percentage of the 1996 workforce that is employed in agriculture.</td>
<td>1996 CoP(calculations by author)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHARE_OTHER_PRI</td>
<td>The percentage of the 1996 workforce that is employed in other primary industries.</td>
<td>1996 CoP(calculations by author)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHARE_MANU</td>
<td>The percentage of the 1996 workforce that is employed in manufacturing sector.</td>
<td>1996 CoP(calculations by author)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HERFINDEX</td>
<td>1996 Herfindahlidex industry concentration at the CCS level. Calculated as $\sum s^2$ where s is the share of employment in industry i.</td>
<td>CoP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHARE_UNI_DEG</td>
<td>Percentage of 1996 population aged 15+ with a university degree</td>
<td>1996 CoP(calculations by author)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social factors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHARE_LICO</td>
<td>1996 percentage of population with income below the low income cut-off.</td>
<td>1996 CoP(Calculations by author)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHARE_OWN_DW</td>
<td>1996 percentage of population in own dwellings.</td>
<td>1996 CoP(Calculations by author)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOT_CRIME</td>
<td>Total crime rate (number of crime per 100,000 people)</td>
<td>CRERL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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